



भारतीय रिज़र्व बैंक
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA
www.rbi.org.in

RBI/2012-13/42
DBOD.No.BP.BC. 15 /21.01.002/2012-13

July 2, 2012

All Commercial Banks
(excluding RRBs)

Dear Sir,

Master Circular - Prudential Norms on Capital Adequacy - Basel I Framework

Please refer to the [Master Circular No. DBOD.BP.BC.17/21.01.002/2010-2011 dated July 1, 2011](#) consolidating instructions / guidelines issued to banks till June 30, 2011 on matters relating to prudential norms on capital adequacy under Basel I framework. The Master Circular has been suitably updated by incorporating instructions issued up to June 30, 2012 and has also been placed on the RBI web-site (<http://www.rbi.org.in>).

2. It may be noted that all relevant instructions on the above subject contained in the circulars listed in the Annex 13 have been consolidated. As the banks in India have migrated to Basel II norms with effect from March 31, 2009, instructions contained in this circular will be applicable to calculate the prudential floor of capital in terms of our circular 'Prudential Guidelines on Capital Adequacy and Market Discipline – Implementation of the New Capital Adequacy Framework (NCAF)' and may be reported in the format prescribed in Annex 12.

3. All the banks in India would continue to have the parallel run till March 31, 2013, subject to review, and ensure that their Basel II minimum capital requirement continues to be higher than the prudential floor of 80 per cent of the minimum capital requirement computed as per Basel I framework for credit and market risks.

Yours faithfully,

(Deepak Singhal)
Chief General Manager-in-Charge

Table of Contents

| S. No. | Paragrap h/ Annex | Particulars |
|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1 | Introduction |
| 2 | 1.1 | Capital |
| 3 | 1.2 | Credit Risk |
| 4 | 1.3 | Market Risk |
| 5 | 2 | Guidelines |
| 6 | 2.1 | Components of Capital |
| 7 | 2.2 | Capital Charge For Market Risk |
| 8 | 2.3 | Capital Charge for Credit Default Swaps |
| 9 | 2.4 | Capital Charge for Subsidiaries |
| 10 | 2.5 | Procedure for computation of CRAR |
| 11 | Annex I | Guidelines on Perpetual Non-cumulative Preference Shares as part of Tier I Capital |
| 12 | Annex 2 | Terms and Conditions for inclusion of Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments |
| 13 | Annex 3 | Terms and Conditions applicable to Debt capital instruments to qualify as Upper Tier II Capital |
| 14 | Annex 4 | Terms and Conditions applicable to Perpetual Cumulative Preference Shares (PCPS)/ Redeemable Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (RNCPS)/ Redeemable Cumulative Preference Shares (RCPS) as part of Upper Tier II Capital. |
| 15 | Annex 5 | Terms and conditions for issue of unsecured bonds as Subordinated Debt by banks to raise Tier II Capital |
| 16 | Annex 6 | Subordinated Debt – Head Office borrowings by foreign banks |
| 17 | Annex 7 | Capital Charge for Specific Risk |
| 18 | Annex 8 | Duration Method |
| 19 | Annex 9 | Horizontal Disallowance |
| 20 | Annex 10 | Risk Weights for calculation of capital charge for credit risk |
| 21 | Annex 11 | Worked out examples for computing capital charge for credit and market risks |
| 22 | Annex 12 | Reporting Formats |
| 23 | Annex 13 | List of instructions and circulars consolidated |
| 24 | 3 | Glossary |

Master Circular on 'Prudential Norms on Capital Adequacy- Basel I Framework'

Purpose

The Reserve Bank of India decided in April 1992 to introduce a risk asset ratio system for banks (including foreign banks) in India as a capital adequacy measure in line with the Capital Adequacy Norms prescribed by Basel Committee. This circular prescribes the risk weights for the balance sheet assets, non-funded items and other off-balance sheet exposures and the minimum capital funds to be maintained as ratio to the aggregate of the risk weighted assets and other exposures, as also, capital requirements in the trading book, on an ongoing basis.

Previous instructions

This master circular consolidates and updates the instructions on the above subject contained in the circulars listed in Annex 13.

Application

To all the commercial banks, excluding Regional Rural Banks.

1. INTRODUCTION

This master circular covers instructions regarding the components of capital and capital charge required to be provided for by the banks for credit and market risks. It deals with providing explicit capital charge for credit and market risk and addresses the issues involved in computing capital charges for interest rate related instruments in the trading book, equities in the trading book and foreign exchange risk (including gold and other precious metals) in both trading and banking books. Trading book for the purpose of these guidelines includes securities included under the Held for Trading category, securities included under the Available For Sale category, open gold position limits, open foreign exchange position limits, trading positions in derivatives, and derivatives entered into for hedging trading book exposures, including Credit Default Swaps (CDS).

1.1 Capital

The basic approach of capital adequacy framework is that a bank should have sufficient capital to provide a stable resource to absorb any losses arising from the risks in its business. Capital is divided into tiers according to the characteristics/qualities of each qualifying instrument. For supervisory purposes capital is split into two categories: Tier I and Tier II. These categories represent different instruments' quality as capital. Tier I

capital consists mainly of share capital and disclosed reserves and it is a bank's highest quality capital because it is fully available to cover losses. Tier II capital on the other hand consists of certain reserves and certain types of subordinated debt. The loss absorption capacity of Tier II capital is lower than that of Tier I capital. When returns of the investors of the capital issues are counter guaranteed by the bank, such investments will not be considered as Tier I/II regulatory capital for the purpose of capital adequacy.

1.2 Credit Risk

Credit risk is most simply defined as the potential that a bank's borrower or counterparty may fail to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed terms. It is the possibility of losses associated with diminution in the credit quality of borrowers or counterparties. In a bank's portfolio, losses stem from outright default due to inability or unwillingness of a customer or a counterparty to meet commitments in relation to lending, trading, settlement and other financial transactions. Alternatively, losses result from reduction in portfolio arising from actual or perceived deterioration in credit quality.

For most banks, loans are the largest and the most obvious source of credit risk; however, other sources of credit risk exist throughout the activities of a bank, including in the banking book and in the trading book, and both on and off balance sheet. Banks increasingly face credit risk (or counterparty risk) in various financial instruments other than loans, including acceptances, inter-bank transactions, trade financing, foreign exchange transactions, financial futures, swaps, bonds, equities, options and in guarantees and settlement of transactions.

The goal of credit risk management is to maximize a bank's risk-adjusted rate of return by maintaining credit risk exposure within acceptable parameters. Banks need to manage the credit risk inherent in the entire portfolio, as well as, the risk in the individual credits or transactions. Banks should have a keen awareness of the need to identify measure, monitor and control credit risk, as well as, to determine that they hold adequate capital against these risks and they are adequately compensated for risks incurred.

1.3 Market Risk

Market risk refers to the risk to a bank resulting from movements in market prices in particular changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity and commodity

prices. In simpler terms, it may be defined as the possibility of loss to a bank caused by changes in the market variables. The Bank for International Settlements (BIS) defines market risk as “the risk that the value of ‘on’ or ‘off’ balance sheet positions will be adversely affected by movements in equity and interest rate markets, currency exchange rates and commodity prices”. Thus, Market Risk is the risk to the bank’s earnings and capital due to changes in the market level of interest rates or prices of securities, foreign exchange and equities, as well as, the volatilities of those changes.

2. GUIDELINES

2.1 Components of Capital

Capital funds: The capital funds for the banks are being discussed under two heads i.e. the capital funds of Indian banks and the capital funds of foreign banks operating in India.

2.1.1 Capital Funds of Indian banks: For Indian banks, 'capital funds' would include the components Tier I capital and Tier II capital.

2.1.1.1 Elements of Tier I Capital: The elements of Tier I capital include:

- (i) Paid-up capital (ordinary shares), statutory reserves, and other disclosed free reserves, if any;
- (ii) Perpetual Non-cumulative Preference Shares (PNCPS) eligible for inclusion as Tier I capital - subject to laws in force from time to time;
- (iii) Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments (IPDI) eligible for inclusion as Tier I capital; and
- (iv) Capital reserves representing surplus arising out of sale proceeds of assets.

The guidelines covering Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (PNCPS) eligible for inclusion as Tier I capital indicating the minimum regulatory requirements are furnished in **Annex 1**. The guidelines governing the Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments (IPDI) eligible for inclusion as Tier I capital indicating the minimum regulatory requirements are furnished in **Annex 2**.

Banks may include quarterly / half yearly profits for computation of Tier I capital only if the quarterly / half yearly results are audited by statutory auditors and not when the results are subjected to limited review.

2.1.1.2 A special dispensation of amortising the expenditure arising out of second pension option and enhancement of gratuity was permitted to Public Sector Banks as also select private sector banks who were parties to the 9th bipartite settlement with Indian Banks Association (IBA). In view of the exceptional nature of the event, the unamortised expenditure pertaining to these items need not be deducted from Tier I capital.

2.1.1.3 Elements of Tier II Capital: The elements of Tier II capital include undisclosed reserves, revaluation reserves, general provisions and loss reserves, hybrid capital instruments, subordinated debt and investment reserve account.

(a) Undisclosed Reserves

They can be included in capital, if they represent accumulations of post-tax profits and are not encumbered by any known liability and should not be routinely used for absorbing normal loss or operating losses.

(b) Revaluation Reserves

It would be prudent to consider revaluation reserves at a discount of 55 per cent while determining their value for inclusion in Tier II capital. Such reserves will have to be reflected on the face of the Balance Sheet as revaluation reserves.

(c) General Provisions and Loss Reserves

Such reserves can be included in Tier II capital if they are not attributable to the actual diminution in value or identifiable potential loss in any specific asset and are available to meet unexpected losses. Adequate care must be taken to see that sufficient provisions have been made to meet all known losses and foreseeable potential losses before considering general provisions and loss reserves to be part of Tier II capital. General provisions/loss reserves will be admitted up to a maximum of 1.25 percent of total risk weighted assets.

'Floating Provisions' held by the banks, which is general in nature and not made against any identified assets, may be treated as a part of Tier II capital within the overall ceiling of 1.25 percent of total risk weighted assets.

Excess provisions which arise on sale of NPAs would be eligible Tier II capital subject to the overall ceiling of 1.25% of total Risk Weighted Assets.

(d) Hybrid Debt Capital Instruments

Those instruments which have close similarities to equity, in particular when they are able to support losses on an ongoing basis without triggering liquidation, may be included in Tier II capital. At present the following instruments have been recognized and placed under this category:

- (i) Debt capital instruments eligible for inclusion as Upper Tier II capital ;
and
- (ii) Perpetual Cumulative Preference Shares (PCPS) / Redeemable Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (RNCPS) / Redeemable Cumulative Preference Shares (RCPS) as part of Upper Tier II Capital.

The guidelines governing the instruments at (i) and (ii) above, indicating the minimum regulatory requirements are furnished in **Annex 3** and **Annex 4** respectively.

(e) Subordinated Debt

Banks can raise, with the approval of their Boards, rupee-subordinated debt as Tier II capital, subject to the terms and conditions given in the **Annex 5**.

(f) Investment Reserve Account

In the event of provisions created on account of depreciation in the 'Available for Sale' or 'Held for Trading' categories being found to be in excess of the required amount in any year, the excess should be credited to the Profit & Loss account and an equivalent amount (net of taxes, if any and net of transfer to Statutory Reserves as applicable to such excess provision) should be appropriated to an Investment Reserve Account in Schedule 2 –“Reserves & Surplus” under the head “Revenue and other Reserves” in the Balance Sheet and would be eligible for inclusion under Tier II capital within the overall ceiling of 1.25 per cent of total risk weighted assets prescribed for General Provisions/ Loss Reserves.

- (g) Banks are allowed to include the 'General Provisions on Standard Assets' and 'provisions held for country exposures' in Tier II capital. However, the provisions on 'standard assets' together with other 'general provisions/ loss reserves' and 'provisions held for country exposures' will be admitted as Tier II capital up to a maximum of 1.25 per cent of the total risk-weighted assets.

2.1.2 Capital Funds of foreign banks operating in India

For the foreign banks operating in India, 'capital funds' would include the two components i.e. Tier I capital and Tier II capital.

2.1.2.1 Elements of Tier I Capital: The elements of Tier I capital include

- (i) Interest-free funds from Head Office kept in a separate account in Indian books specifically for the purpose of meeting the capital adequacy norms.
- (ii) Innovative Instruments eligible for inclusion as Tier I capital.
- (iii) Statutory reserves kept in Indian books.
- (iv) Remittable surplus retained in Indian books which is not repatriable so long as the bank functions in India.

2.1.2.2 Elements of Tier II Capital: The elements of Tier II capital include the following elements.

- (a) Elements of Tier II capital as applicable to Indian banks.
- (b) Head Office (HO) borrowings raised in foreign currency (for inclusion in Upper Tier II Capital) subject to the terms and conditions as mentioned at para 7 of **Annex 3** to this circular.

Regarding the capital of foreign banks they are also required to follow the following instructions:

- a) The foreign banks are required to furnish to Reserve Bank, (if not already done), an undertaking to the effect that the banks will not remit abroad the remittable surplus retained in India and included in Tier I capital as long as the banks function in India.
- b) These funds may be retained in a separate account titled as 'Amount Retained in India for Meeting Capital to Risk-weighted Asset Ratio (CRAR) Requirements' under 'Capital Funds'.
- c) An auditor's certificate to the effect that these funds represent surplus remittable to Head Office once tax assessments are completed or tax appeals are decided and do not include funds in the nature of provisions towards tax or for any other contingency may also be furnished to Reserve Bank;
- d) Foreign banks operating in India are permitted to hedge their entire Tier I capital held by them in Indian books subject to the following conditions:
 - (i) The forward contract should be for tenor of one year or more and may be

rolled over on maturity. Rebooking of cancelled hedge will require prior approval of Reserve Bank.

- (ii) The capital funds should be available in India to meet local regulatory and CRAR requirements. Therefore, foreign currency funds accruing out of hedging should not be parked in nostro accounts but should remain swapped with banks in India at all times.
- e) Capital Reserve representing surplus arising out of sale of assets in India held in a separate account is not eligible for repatriation so long as the bank functions in India
- f) Interest-free funds remitted from abroad for the purpose of acquisition of property should be held in a separate account in Indian books.
- g) The net credit balance, if any, in the inter-office account with Head Office/overseas branches will not be reckoned as capital funds. However, any debit balance in Head Office account will have to be set-off against the capital.

2.1.2.3 Other terms and conditions for issue of Tier I/Tier II Capital

- (i) Foreign banks in India may raise Head Office (HO) borrowings in foreign currency for inclusion as Tier I /Tier II capital subject to the terms and conditions indicated at **Annex 2, 3, 5 & 6.**
- (ii) Foreign banks operating in India are also required to comply with the instructions on limits for Tier II elements and norms on cross holdings as applicable to Indian banks. The elements of Tier I and Tier II capital do not include foreign currency loans granted to Indian parties.

2.1.3 Step-up option – Transitional Arrangements

In terms of the document titled 'Basel III - A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems', released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) in December 2010, regulatory capital instrument should not have step-up or other incentives to redeem. However, the BCBS has proposed certain transitional arrangements, in terms of which only those instruments having such features which were issued before September 12, 2010 will continue to be recognised as eligible capital instruments under Basel III which becomes operational beginning January 01, 2013 in a phased manner. Hence, banks should not issue Tier I or Tier II capital instruments with 'step-up option', so that these instruments continue to remain eligible for inclusion in the new definition of regulatory capital.

2.1.4 Deductions from computation of Capital funds:

2.1.4.1 Deductions from Tier I Capital: The following deductions should be made from Tier I capital:

- (a) Intangible assets and losses in the current period and those brought forward from previous periods should be deducted from Tier I capital;
- (b) Creation of deferred tax asset (DTA) results in an increase in Tier I capital of a bank without any tangible asset being added to the banks' balance sheet. Therefore, DTA, which is an intangible asset, should be deducted from Tier I capital.

2.1.4.2 Deductions from Tier I and Tier II Capital

a. Equity/non-equity investments in subsidiaries

The investments of a bank in the equity as well as non-equity capital instruments issued by a subsidiary, which are reckoned towards its regulatory capital as per norms prescribed by the respective regulator, should be deducted at 50 per cent each, from Tier I and Tier II capital of the parent bank, while assessing the capital adequacy of the bank on 'solo' basis, under the Basel I Framework.

b. Credit Enhancements pertaining to Securitization of Standard Assets

(i) Treatment of First Loss Facility

The first loss credit enhancement provided by the originator shall be reduced from capital funds and the deduction shall be capped at the amount of capital that the bank would have been required to hold for the full value of the assets, had they not been securitised. The deduction shall be made at 50% from Tier I and 50% from Tier II capital.

(ii) Treatment of Second Loss Facility

The second loss credit enhancement provided by the originator shall be reduced from capital funds to the full extent. The deduction shall be made 50% from Tier I and 50% from Tier II capital.

(iii) Treatment of credit enhancements provided by third party

In case, the bank is acting as a third party service provider, the first loss credit enhancement provided by it shall be reduced from capital to the full extent as indicated at para (i) above;

(iv) Underwriting by an originator

Securities issued by the SPVs and devolved / held by the banks in excess of 10

per cent of the original amount of issue, including secondary market purchases, shall be deducted 50% from Tier I capital and 50% from Tier II capital;

(v) Underwriting by third party service providers

If the bank has underwritten securities issued by SPVs devolved and held by banks which are below investment grade the same will be deducted from capital at 50% from Tier I and 50% from Tier II.

2.1.5 Limit for Tier II elements

Tier II elements should be limited to a maximum of 100 per cent of total Tier I elements for the purpose of compliance with the norms.

2.1.6 Norms on cross holdings

- (i) A bank's / FI's investments in all types of instruments listed at 2.1.6 (ii) below, which are issued by other banks / FIs and are eligible for capital status for the investee bank / FI, will be limited to 10 per cent of the investing bank's capital funds (Tier I plus Tier II capital).
- (ii) Banks' / FIs' investment in the following instruments will be included in the prudential limit of 10 per cent referred to at 2.1.6(i) above.
 - a. Equity shares;
 - b. Preference shares eligible for capital status;
 - c. Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments eligible as Tier I capital;
 - d. Subordinated debt instruments;
 - e. Debt capital Instruments qualifying for Upper Tier II status ; and
 - f. Any other instrument approved as in the nature of capital.
- (iii) Banks / FIs should not acquire any fresh stake in a bank's equity shares, if by such acquisition, the investing bank's / FI's holding exceeds 5 per cent of the investee bank's equity capital.
- (iv) Banks' / FIs' investments in the equity capital of subsidiaries are at present deducted at 50 per cent each, from Tier I and Tier II capital of the parent bank for capital adequacy purposes. Investments in the instruments issued by banks / FIs which are listed at paragraph 2.1.6 (ii) above, which are not deducted from Tier I capital of the investing bank/ FI, will attract 100 per cent risk weight for credit risk for capital adequacy purposes.

(v) An indicative list of institutions which may be deemed to be financial institutions for capital adequacy purposes is as under:

- Banks,
- Mutual funds,
- Insurance companies,
- Non-banking financial companies,
- Housing finance companies,
- Merchant banking companies,
- Primary dealers

Note: The following investments are excluded from the purview of the ceiling of 10 per cent prudential norm prescribed above:

- a) Investments in equity shares of other banks /FIs in India held under the provisions of a statute.
- b) Strategic investments in equity shares of other banks/FIs incorporated outside India as promoters/significant shareholders (i.e. Foreign Subsidiaries / Joint Ventures / Associates).
- c) Equity holdings outside India in other banks / FIs incorporated outside India.

2.1.7 Swap Transactions

Banks are advised not to enter into swap transactions involving conversion of fixed rate rupee liabilities in respect of Innovative Tier I/Tier II bonds into floating rate foreign currency liabilities.

2.1.8 Minimum requirement of Capital Funds

Banks are required to maintain a minimum CRAR of 9 percent on an ongoing basis.

2.1.9 Capital Charge for Credit Risk

Banks are required to manage the credit risks in their books on an ongoing basis and ensure that the capital requirements for credit risks are being maintained on a continuous basis, i.e. at the close of each business day. The applicable risk weights for calculation of CRAR for credit risk are furnished in **Annex 10**.

2.2 Capital Charge for Market Risk

2.2.1 As an initial step towards prescribing capital requirement for market risk, banks were advised to:

- (i) assign an additional risk weight of 2.5 per cent on the entire investment portfolio;
- (ii) Assign a risk weight of 100 per cent on the open position limits on foreign exchange and gold; and
- (iii) build up Investment Fluctuation Reserve up to a minimum of five per cent of the investments held in Held for Trading and Available for Sale categories in the investment portfolio.

2.2.2 Subsequently, keeping in view the ability of the banks to identify and measure market risk, it was decided to assign explicit capital charge for market risk. Thus banks are required to maintain capital charge for market risk on securities included in the Held for Trading and Available for Sale categories, open gold position, open forex position, trading positions in derivatives and derivatives entered into for hedging trading book exposures. Consequently, the additional risk weight of 2.5% towards market risk on the investment included under Held for Trading and Available for Sale categories is not required.

2.2.3 To begin with, capital charge for market risks is applicable to banks on a global basis. At a later stage, this would be extended to all groups where the controlling entity is a bank.

2.2.4 Banks are required to manage the market risks in their books on an ongoing basis and ensure that the capital requirements for market risks are being met on a continuous basis, i.e. at the close of each business day. Banks are also required to maintain strict risk management systems to monitor and control intra-day exposures to market risks.

2.2.5. Capital Charge for Interest Rate Risk: The capital charge for interest rate related instruments and equities would apply to current market value of these items in bank's trading book. The current market value will be determined as per extant RBI guidelines on valuation of investments. The minimum capital requirement is expressed in terms of two

separate capital charges i.e. *Specific risk charge* for each security both for short and long positions and *General market risk charge* towards interest rate risk in the portfolio where long and short positions in different securities or instruments can be offset. In India short position is not allowed except in case of derivatives and Central Government Securities. The banks have to provide the capital charge for interest rate risk in the trading book other than derivatives as per the guidelines given below for both *specific risk* and *general risk* after measuring the risk of holding or taking positions in debt securities and other interest rate related instruments in the trading book.

2.2.5.1 Specific Risk:

This refers to risk of loss caused by an adverse price movement of a security principally due to factors related to the issuer. The specific risk charge is designed to protect against an adverse movement in the price of an individual security owing to factors related to the individual issuer. The specific risk charge is graduated for various exposures under three heads i.e. claims on Government, claims on banks, claims on others and is given in **Annex 7**.

2.2.5.2 General Market Risk:

The capital requirements for general market risk are designed to capture the risk of loss arising from changes in market interest rates. The capital charge is the sum of four components:

- the net short (short position is not allowed in India except in derivatives and Central Government Securities) or long position in the whole trading book;
- a small proportion of the matched positions in each time-band (the “vertical disallowance”);
- a larger proportion of the matched positions across different time-bands (the “horizontal disallowance”), and
- a net charge for positions in options, where appropriate.

2.2.5.3 Computation of Capital Charge for Market Risk:

The Basel Committee has suggested two broad methodologies for computation of capital charge for market risks i.e. the Standardised method and the banks’ Internal Risk Management models (IRM) method. It has been decided that, to start with, banks may adopt the standardised method. Under the standardised method there are two principal methods of measuring market risk, a “maturity” method and a “duration” method.

As “duration” method is a more accurate method of measuring interest rate risk, it has been decided to adopt Standardised Duration method to arrive at the capital charge. Accordingly, banks are required to measure the general market risk charge by calculating the price sensitivity (modified duration) of each position separately. Under this method, the mechanics are as follows:

- first calculate the price sensitivity (modified duration) of each instrument;
- next apply the assumed change in yield to the modified duration of each instrument between 0.6 and 1.0 percentage points depending on the maturity of the instrument as given in **Annex 8**;
- slot the resulting capital charge measures into a maturity ladder with the fifteen time bands as set out in **Annex 8**;
- subject long and short positions (short position is not allowed in India except in derivatives and Central Government Securities) in each time band to a 5 per cent vertical disallowance designed to capture basis risk; and
- carry forward the net positions in each time-band for horizontal offsetting subject to the disallowances set out in **Annex 9**.

2.2.5.4 Capital Charge for Interest Rate Derivatives:

The measurement of capital charge for market risks should include all interest rate derivatives and off-balance sheet instruments in the trading book and derivatives entered into for hedging trading book exposures which would react to changes in the interest rates, like FRAs, interest rate positions, etc. The details of measurement of capital charge for interest rate derivatives and options are furnished below.

2.2.5.5 Measurement system in respect of Interest Rate Derivatives and Options

2.2.5.5.1 Interest Rate Derivatives

The measurement system should include all interest rate derivatives and off-balance sheet instruments in the trading book, which react to changes in interest rates, (e.g. forward rate agreements (FRAs), other forward contracts, bond futures, interest rate and cross-currency swaps and forward foreign exchange positions). Options can be treated in a variety of ways as described at para 2.2.5.5.2 below. A summary of the rules for dealing with interest rate derivatives is set out at the end of this section.

2.2.5.5.1.1 Calculation of positions

The derivatives should be converted into positions in the relevant underlying and be subjected to specific and general market risk charges as described in the guidelines. In order to calculate the capital charge, the amounts reported should be the market value of the principal amount of the underlying or of the notional underlying. For instruments where the apparent notional amount differs from the effective notional amount, banks must use the effective notional amount.

i. Futures and forward contracts, including Forward Rate Agreements (FRA):

These instruments are treated as a combination of a long and a short position in a notional government security. The maturity of a future or a FRA will be the period until delivery or exercise of the contract, plus - where applicable - the life of the underlying instrument. For example, a long position in a June three-month interest rate future (taken in April) is to be reported as a long position in a government security with a maturity of five months and a short position in a government security with a maturity of two months. Where a range of deliverable instruments may be delivered to fulfill the contract, the bank has flexibility to elect which deliverable security goes into the duration ladder but should take account of any conversion factor defined by the exchange.

- ii. SWAP:** Swaps will be treated as two notional positions in government securities with relevant maturities. For example, an interest rate swap under which a bank is receiving floating rate interest and paying fixed will be treated as a long position in a floating rate instrument of maturity equivalent to the period until the next interest fixing and a short position in a fixed-rate instrument of maturity equivalent to the residual life of the swap. For swaps that pay or receive a fixed or floating interest rate against some other reference price, e.g. a stock index, the interest rate component should be slotted into the appropriate re-pricing maturity category, with the equity component being included in the equity framework. Separate legs of cross-currency swaps are to be reported in the relevant maturity ladders for the currencies concerned.

2.2.5.5.1.2 Calculation of Capital Charges for Derivatives under the Standardised Methodology:

i. Allowable offsetting of matched positions

Banks may exclude the following from the interest rate maturity framework

altogether (for both specific and general market risk);

- Long and short positions (both actual and notional) in identical instruments with exactly the same issuer, coupon, currency and maturity.
- A matched position in a future or forward and its corresponding underlying may also be fully offset (the leg representing the time to expiry of the future should however be reported) and thus excluded from the calculation.

When the future or the forward comprises a range of deliverable instruments, offsetting of positions in the future or forward contract and its underlying is only permissible in cases where there is a readily identifiable underlying security which is most profitable for the trader with a short position to deliver. The price of this security, sometimes called the "cheapest-to-deliver", and the price of the future or forward contract should in such cases move in close alignment.

No offsetting will be allowed between positions in different currencies; the separate legs of cross-currency swaps or forward foreign exchange deals are to be treated as notional positions in the relevant instruments and included in the appropriate calculation for each currency.

In addition, opposite positions in the same category of instruments can in certain circumstances be regarded as matched and allowed to offset fully. To qualify for this treatment the positions must relate to the same underlying instruments, be of the same nominal value and be denominated in the same currency. In addition:

- for futures: offsetting positions in the notional or underlying instruments to which the futures contract relates must be for identical products and mature within seven days of each other;
- for swaps and FRAs: the reference rate (for floating rate positions) must be identical and the coupon closely matched (i.e. within 15 basis points); and
- for swaps, FRAs and forwards: the next interest fixing date or, for fixed coupon positions or forwards, the residual maturity must correspond within the following limits:
 - less than one month hence: same day;
 - between one month and one year hence: within seven days;
 - over one year hence: within thirty days.

Banks with large swap books may use alternative formulae for these swaps to calculate the positions to be included in the duration ladder. The method would be to calculate the sensitivity of the net present value implied by the change in yield used in the duration method and allocate these sensitivities into the time-bands set out in **Annex 8**.

ii. Specific Risk

Interest rate and currency swaps, FRAs, forward foreign exchange contracts and interest rate futures will not be subject to a specific risk charge. This exemption also applies to futures on an interest rate index (e.g. LIBOR). However, in the case of futures contracts where the underlying is a debt security, or an index representing a basket of debt securities, a specific risk charge will apply according to the credit risk of the issuer as set out in paragraphs above.

iii. General Market Risk

General market risk applies to positions in all derivative products in the same manner as for cash positions, subject only to an exemption for fully or very closely matched positions in identical instruments as defined in paragraphs above. The various categories of instruments should be slotted into the maturity ladder and treated according to the rules identified earlier.

Table - Summary of treatment of interest rate derivatives

| Instrument | Specific risk charge | General Market risk charge |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Exchange-traded future - Government debt security - Corporate debt security - Index on interest rates (e.g. MIBOR) | No Yes No | Yes, as two positions Yes, as two positions Yes, as two positions |
| OTC forward - Government debt security - Corporate debt security - Index on interest rates (e.g. MIBOR) | No Yes No | Yes, as two positions Yes, as two positions Yes, as two positions |
| FRAs, Swaps | No | Yes, as two positions |
| Forward Foreign Exchange | No | Yes, as one position in each currency |
| Options - Government debt security - Corporate debt security - Index on interest rates (e.g. MIBOR) - FRAs, Swaps | No Yes No No | |

2.2.5.5.2 Treatment of Options

In recognition of the wide diversity of banks' activities in options and the difficulties of measuring price risk for options, alternative approaches are permissible as under:

- those banks which solely use purchased options¹ will be free to use the simplified approach described in Section (a) below;
- those banks which also write options will be expected to use one of the intermediate approaches as set out in Section (b) below.

a) Simplified Approach

In the **simplified approach**, the positions for the options and the associated underlying, cash or forward, are not subject to the standardised methodology but rather are carved-out and subject to separately calculated capital charges that incorporate both general market risk and specific risk. The risk numbers thus generated are then added to the capital charges for the relevant category, i.e. interest rate related instruments, equities, and foreign exchange as described in Sections 2.2.5 to 2.2.7 of this circular. Banks which handle a limited range of purchased options only will be free to use the simplified approach set out in Table 1, below for particular trades. As an example of how the calculation would work, if a holder of 100 shares currently valued at ₹ 10 each holds an equivalent put option with a strike price of ₹ 11, the capital charge would be: ₹ 1,000 x 18% (i.e. 9% specific plus 9% general market risk) = ₹ 180, less the amount the option is in the money (₹ 11 – ₹ 10) x 100 = ₹ 100, i.e. the capital charge would be ₹ 80. A similar methodology applies for options whose underlying is a foreign currency or an interest rate related instrument.

¹ Unless all their written option positions are hedged by perfectly matched long positions in exactly the same options, in which case no capital charge for market risk is required

Table-1 Simplified Approach: Capital Charges

| Position | Treatment |
|--|--|
| Long cash and Long put or Short cash and Long call | The capital charge will be the market value of the underlying security ² multiplied by the sum of specific and general market risk charges ³ for the underlying less the amount the option is in the money (if any) bounded at zero ⁴ |
| Position | Treatment |
| Long Call or Long put | The capital charge will be the lesser of: (i) the market value of the underlying security multiplied by the sum of specific and general market risk charges ³ for the underlying (ii) the market value of the option ⁵ |

b) Intermediate approaches

i Delta-plus Method

The *delta-plus method* uses the sensitivity parameters or "Greek letters" associated with options to measure their market risk and capital requirements. Under this method, the delta-equivalent position of each option becomes part of the standardised methodology set out in Sections 2.2.5 to 2.2.7 with the delta-equivalent amount subject to the applicable general market risk charges. Separate capital charges are then applied to the gamma and vega risks of the option positions. Banks which write options will be allowed to include delta-weighted options positions within the standardised methodology set out in Sections 2.2.5 to 2.2.7. Such options should be reported as a position equal to the market value of the underlying multiplied by the delta.

However, since delta does not sufficiently cover the risks associated with options positions, banks will also be required to measure gamma (which measures the rate of

² In some cases such as foreign exchange, it may be unclear which side is the "underlying security"; this should be taken to be the asset which would be received if the option were exercised. In addition the nominal value should be used for items where the market value of the underlying instrument could be zero, e.g. caps and floors, swaptions etc.

³ Some options (e.g. where the underlying is an interest rate or a currency) bear no specific risk, but specific risk will be present in the case of options on certain interest rate-related instruments (e.g. options on a corporate debt security or corporate bond index; see paragraph 2.2.5 for the relevant capital charges) and for options on equities and stock indices (see paragraph 2.2.6). The charge under this measure for currency options will be 9%.

⁴ For options with a residual maturity of more than six months, the strike price should be compared with the forward, not current, price. A bank unable to do this must take the "in-the-money" amount to be zero.

⁵ Where the position does not fall within the trading book (i.e. options on certain foreign exchange or commodities positions not belonging to the trading book), it may be acceptable to use the book value instead.

change of delta) and vega (which measures the sensitivity of the value of an option with respect to a change in volatility) sensitivities in order to calculate the total capital charge. These sensitivities will be calculated according to an approved exchange model or to the bank's proprietary options pricing model subject to oversight by the Reserve Bank of India⁶.

Delta-weighted positions with *debt securities or interest rates as the underlying* will be slotted into the interest rate time-bands, as set out in Table at **Annex 8** under the following procedure. A two-legged approach should be used as for other derivatives, requiring one entry at the time the underlying contract takes effect and a second at the time the underlying contract matures. For instance, a bought call option on a June three-month interest-rate future will in April be considered, on the basis of its delta-equivalent value, to be a long position with a maturity of five months and a short position with a maturity of two months⁷. The written option will be similarly slotted as a long position with a maturity of two months and a short position with a maturity of five months. Floating rate instruments with caps or floors will be treated as a combination of floating rate securities and a series of European-style options. For example, the holder of a three-year floating rate bond indexed to six month LIBOR with a cap of 15% will treat it as:

- a. a debt security that re prices in six months; and
- b. a series of five written call options on a FRA with a reference rate of 15%, each with a negative sign at the time the underlying FRA takes effect and a positive sign at the time the underlying FRA matures⁸.

The capital charge for *options with equities as the underlying* will also be based on the delta-weighted positions which will be incorporated in the measure of market risk described in Section 2.2.5. For purposes of this calculation each national market is to be treated as a separate underlying. The capital charge for *options on foreign exchange*

⁶ Reserve Bank of India may wish to require banks doing business in certain classes of exotic options (e.g. barriers, digitals) or in options "at-the-money" that are close to expiry to use either the scenario approach or the internal models alternative, both of which can accommodate more detailed revaluation approaches.

⁷ A two-months call option on a bond future, where delivery of the bond takes place in September, would be considered in April as being long the bond and short a five-months deposit, both positions being delta-weighted.

⁸ The rules applying to closely-matched positions set out in paragraph 2.2.5.5.1.2 will also apply in this respect.

and gold positions will be based on the method set out in Section 2.2.7. For delta risk, the net delta-based equivalent of the foreign currency and gold options will be incorporated into the measurement of the exposure for the respective currency (or gold) position.

In addition to the above capital charges arising from delta risk, there will be further capital charges for *gamma* and for *vega risk*. Banks using the delta-plus method will be required to calculate the gamma and vega for each option position (including hedge positions) separately. The capital charges should be calculated in the following way:

(a) for *each individual option* a "gamma impact" should be calculated according to a Taylor series expansion as :

$$\text{Gamma impact} = 1/2 \times \text{Gamma} \times \text{VU}^2$$

where VU = Variation of the underlying of the option.

(b) VU will be calculated as follows:

- for interest rate options if the underlying is a bond, the price sensitivity should be worked out as explained. An equivalent calculation should be carried out where the underlying is an interest rate.
- for options on equities and equity indices; which are not permitted at present, the market value of the underlying should be multiplied by 9%⁹;
- for foreign exchange and gold options: the market value of the underlying should be multiplied by 9%;

(c) For the purpose of this calculation the following positions should be treated as *the same underlying*:

- for interest rates¹⁰, each time-band as set out in **Annex 8**¹¹;
- for equities and stock indices, each national market;
- for foreign currencies and gold, each currency pair and gold;

(d) Each option on the same underlying will have a gamma impact that is either positive or negative. These individual gamma impacts will be summed, resulting in a net gamma impact for each underlying that is either positive or negative. Only those net gamma impacts that are negative will be included in

⁹ The basic rules set out here for interest rate and equity options do not attempt to capture specific risk when calculating gamma capital charges. However, Reserve Bank may require specific banks to do so.

¹⁰ Positions have to be slotted into separate maturity ladders by currency.

¹¹ Banks using the duration method should use the time-bands as set out in Annex.8
Master Circular – Prudential Norms on Capital Adequacy – Basel I Framework – 2012

the capital calculation.

- (e) The total gamma capital charge will be the sum of the absolute value of the net negative gamma impacts as calculated above.
- (f) For *volatility risk*, banks will be required to calculate the capital charges by multiplying the sum of the vegas for all options on the same underlying, as defined above, by a proportional shift in volatility of $\pm 25\%$.
- (g) The *total capital charge* for vega risk will be the sum of the absolute value of the individual capital charges that have been calculated for vega risk.

ii Scenario Approach

The *scenario approach* uses simulation techniques to calculate changes in the value of an options portfolio for changes in the level and volatility of its associated underlying. Under this approach, the general market risk charge is determined by the scenario "grid" (i.e. the specified combination of underlying and volatility changes) that produces the largest loss. For the delta-plus method and the scenario approach the specific risk capital charges are determined separately by multiplying the delta-equivalent of each option by the specific risk weights set out in Section 2.2.5 and Section 2.2.6.

More sophisticated banks will also have the right to base the market risk capital charge for options portfolios and associated hedging positions on *scenario matrix analysis*. This will be accomplished by specifying a fixed range of changes in the option portfolio's risk factors and calculating changes in the value of the option portfolio at various points along this "grid". For the purpose of calculating the capital charge, the bank will revalue the option portfolio using matrices for simultaneous changes in the option's underlying rate or price and in the volatility of that rate or price. A different matrix will be set up for each individual underlying as defined in the preceding paragraph. As an alternative, at the discretion of each national authority, banks which are significant traders in options for interest rate options will be permitted to base the calculation on a minimum of six sets of time-bands. When using this method, not more than three of the time-bands as defined in Section 2.2.5 should be combined into any one set.

The options and related hedging positions will be evaluated over a specified range above and below the current value of the underlying. The range for interest rates is consistent with the assumed changes in yield in **Annex 8**. Those banks using the

alternative method for interest rate options set out in the preceding paragraph should use, for each set of time-bands, the highest of the assumed changes in yield applicable to the group to which the time-bands belong.¹² The other ranges are $\pm 9\%$ for equities and $\pm 9\%$ for foreign exchange and gold. For all risk categories, at least seven observations (including the current observation) should be used to divide the range into equally spaced intervals.

The second dimension of the matrix entails a change in the volatility of the underlying rate or price. A single change in the volatility of the underlying rate or price equal to a shift in volatility of $+ 25\%$ and $- 25\%$ is expected to be sufficient in most cases. As circumstances warrant, however, the Reserve Bank may choose to require that a different change in volatility be used and / or that intermediate points on the grid be calculated.

After calculating the matrix, each cell contains the net profit or loss of the option and the underlying hedge instrument. The capital charge for each underlying will then be calculated as the largest loss contained in the matrix.

In drawing up these intermediate approaches it has been sought to cover the major risks associated with options. In doing so, it is conscious that so far as specific risk is concerned, only the delta-related elements are captured; to capture other risks would necessitate a much more complex regime. On the other hand, in other areas the simplifying assumptions used have resulted in a relatively conservative treatment of certain options positions.

Besides the options risks mentioned above, the RBI is conscious of the other risks also associated with options, e.g. rho (rate of change of the value of the option with respect to the interest rate) and theta (rate of change of the value of the option with respect to time). While not proposing a measurement system for those risks at present, it expects banks undertaking significant options business at the very least to monitor such risks closely. Additionally, banks will be permitted to incorporate rho into their capital calculations for interest rate risk, if they wish to do so.

¹² If, for example, the time-bands 3 to 4 years, 4 to 5 years and 5 to 7 years are combined, the highest assumed change in yield of these three bands would be 0.75.

2.2.6 Measurement of Capital Charge for Equity Risk

Minimum capital requirement to cover the risk of holding or taking positions in equities in the trading book is set out below. This is applied to all instruments that exhibit market behaviour similar to equities but not to non-convertible preference shares (which are covered by the interest rate risk requirements described earlier). The instruments covered include equity shares, whether voting or non-voting, convertible securities that behave like equities, for example: units of mutual funds, and commitments to buy or sell equity. Capital charge for specific risk (akin to credit risk) will be 11.25% and specific risk is computed on the banks' gross equity positions (i.e. the sum of all long equity positions and of all short equity positions – short equity position is, however, not allowed for banks in India). The general market risk charge will also be 9% on the gross equity positions.

Investments in shares and /units of VCFs may be assigned 150% risk weight for measuring the credit risk during first three years when these are held under HTM category. When these are held under or transferred to AFS, the capital charge for specific risk component of the market risk as required in terms of the present guidelines on computation of capital charge for market risk, may be fixed at 13.5% to reflect the risk weight of 150%. The charge for general market risk component would be at 9% as in the case of other equities.

2.2.7 Measurement of Capital Charge for foreign exchange and gold open positions

Foreign exchange open positions and gold open positions are at present risk weighted at 100%. Thus, capital charge for foreign exchange and gold open position is 9% at present. These open positions, limits or actual whichever is higher, would continue to attract capital charge at 9%. This is in line with the Basel Committee requirement.

2.3 Capital Charge for Credit Default Swaps (CDS)

2.3.1 Capital Adequacy Requirement for CDS Positions in the Banking Book

2.3.1.1 Recognition of External / Third-party CDS Hedges

2.3.1.1.1 In case of Banking Book positions hedged by bought CDS positions, no exposure will be reckoned against the reference entity / underlying asset in respect of the hedged exposure, and exposure will be deemed to have been substituted by the

protection seller, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) Operational requirements mentioned in paragraph 4 of the Prudential Guidelines on CDS are met;
- (b) The risk weight applicable to the protection seller under the Basel II Standardised Approach for credit risk is lower than that of the underlying asset; and
- (c) There is no maturity mismatch between the underlying asset and the reference / deliverable obligation. If this condition is not satisfied, then the amount of credit protection to be recognised should be computed as indicated in paragraph 2.3.1.1.3(ii) below.

2.3.1.1.2 If the conditions (a) and (b) above are not satisfied or the bank breaches any of these conditions subsequently, the bank shall reckon the exposure on the underlying asset; and the CDS position will be transferred to Trading Book where it will be subject to specific risk, counterparty credit risk and general market risk (wherever applicable) capital requirements as applicable to Trading Book.

2.3.1.1.3 The unprotected portion of the underlying exposure should be risk-weighted as applicable under Basel II framework. The amount of credit protection shall be adjusted if there are any mismatches between the underlying asset/ obligation and the reference / deliverable asset / obligation with regard to asset or maturity. These are dealt with in detail in the following paragraphs.

(i) ***Asset Mismatches***

Asset mismatch will arise if the underlying asset is different from the reference asset or deliverable obligation. Protection will be reckoned as available by the protection buyer only if the mismatched assets meet the requirements specified in paragraph 4(k) of the Prudential Guidelines on CDS.

(ii) ***Maturity Mismatches***

The protection buyer would be eligible to reckon the amount of protection if the maturity of the credit derivative contract were to be equal or more than the maturity of the underlying asset. If, however, the maturity of the CDS contract is less than the maturity of the underlying asset, then it would be construed as a maturity

mismatch. In case of maturity mismatch the amount of protection will be determined in the following manner:

- a. If the residual maturity of the credit derivative product is less than **three months** no protection will be recognized.
- b. If the residual maturity of the credit derivative contract is **three months** or more protection proportional to the period for which it is available will be recognised. When there is a maturity mismatch the following adjustment will be applied.

$$P_a = P \times (t - 0.25) \div (T - 0.25)$$

Where:

P_a = value of the credit protection adjusted for maturity mismatch

P = credit protection

t = min (T , residual maturity of the credit protection arrangement) expressed in years

T = min (5, residual maturity of the underlying exposure) expressed in years

Example: Suppose the underlying asset is a corporate bond of Face Value of ₹ 100 where the residual maturity is of 5 years and the residual maturity of the CDS is 4 years. The amount of credit protection is computed as under :

$$100 * \{(4 - 0.25) \div (5 - 0.25)\} = 100 * (3.75 \div 4.75) = 78.95$$

- c. Once the residual maturity of the CDS contract reaches **three months**, protection ceases to be recognised.

2.3.1.2 Internal Hedges

Banks can use CDS contracts to hedge against the credit risk in their existing corporate bonds portfolios. A bank can hedge a Banking Book credit risk exposure either by an internal hedge (the protection purchased from the trading desk of the bank and held in the Trading Book) or an external hedge (protection purchased from an eligible third party protection provider). When a bank hedges a Banking Book credit risk exposure (corporate bonds) using a CDS booked in its Trading Book (i.e. using an internal hedge), the Banking

Book exposure is not deemed to be hedged for capital purposes unless the bank transfers the credit risk from the Trading Book to an eligible third party protection provider through a CDS meeting the requirements of paragraph 2.3.1 vis-à-vis the Banking Book exposure. Where such third party protection is purchased and is recognised as a hedge of a Banking Book exposure for regulatory capital purposes, no capital is required to be maintained on internal and external CDS hedge. In such cases, the external CDS will act as indirect hedge for the Banking Book exposure and the capital adequacy in terms of paragraph 2.3.1, as applicable for external/ third party hedges, will be applicable.

2.3.2 Capital Adequacy for CDS in the Trading Book

2.3.2.1 General Market Risk

A credit default swap does not normally create a position for general market risk for either the protection buyer or protection seller. However, the present value of premium payable / receivable is sensitive to changes in the interest rates. In order to measure the interest rate risk in premium receivable / payable, the present value of the premium can be treated as a notional position in Government securities of relevant maturity. These positions will attract appropriate capital charge for general market risk. The protection buyer / seller will treat the present value of the premium payable / receivable equivalent to a short / long notional position in Government securities of relevant maturity.

2.3.2.2 Specific Risk for Exposure to Reference Entity

A CDS creates a notional long/short position for specific risk in the reference asset/ obligation for protection seller/protection buyer. For calculating specific risk capital charge, the notional amount of the CDS and its maturity should be used. The specific risk capital charge for CDS positions will be as per Tables below.

| Specific Risk Capital Charges for bought and sold CDS positions in the Trading Book : Exposures to entities other than Commercial Real Estate Companies / NBFC-ND-SI | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Upto 90 days | | | After 90 days | |
| Ratings by the ECAI* | Residual Maturity of the instrument | Capital charge | Ratings by the ECAI* | Capital charge |
| AAA to BBB | 6 months or less | 0.28 % | AAA | 1.8 % |
| | Greater than 6 months and up to and including 24 months | 1.14% | AA | 2.7% |
| | Exceeding 24 months | 1.80% | A | 4.5% |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| | | | BBB | 9.0% |
| BB and below | All maturities | 13.5% | BB and below | 13.5% |
| Unrated (if permitted) | All maturities | 9.0% | Unrated (if permitted) | 9.0% |

* These ratings indicate the ratings assigned by Indian rating agencies / ECAIs or foreign rating agencies. In the case of foreign ECAIs, the rating symbols used here correspond to Standard and Poor. The modifiers "+" or "-" have been subsumed within the main category.

| Specific Risk Capital Charges for bought and sold CDS positions in the Trading Book : Exposures to Commercial Real Estate Companies / NBFC-ND-SI# | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Ratings by the ECAI* | Residual Maturity of the instrument | Capital charge |
| AAA to BBB | 6 months or less | 1.4% |
| | Greater than 6 months and up to and including 24 months | 7.7% |
| | Exceeding 24 months | 9.0% |
| BB and below | All maturities | 9.0% |
| Unrated (if permitted) | All maturities | 9.0% |

The above table will be applicable for exposures upto 90 days. Capital charge for exposures to Commercial Real Estate Companies/NBFC-ND-SI beyond 90 days shall be taken at 9.0%, regardless of rating of the reference/deliverable obligation.

* These ratings indicate the ratings assigned by Indian rating agencies/ECAIs or foreign rating agencies. In the case of foreign ECAIs, the rating symbols used here correspond to Standard and Poor. The modifiers "+" or "-" have been subsumed within the main category.

2.3.2.2.1 Specific Risk Capital Charges for Positions Hedged by CDS

- (i) Banks may fully offset the specific risk capital charges when the values of two legs (i.e. long and short in CDS positions) always move in the opposite direction and broadly to the same extent. This would be the case when the two legs consist of **completely identical CDS**. In these cases, no specific risk capital requirement applies to both sides of the CDS positions.
- (ii) Banks may offset 80 per cent of the specific risk capital charges when the value of two legs (i.e. long and short) always moves in the opposite direction but not broadly to the same extent. This would be the case when a long cash position is hedged by a credit default swap and there is an exact match in terms of the reference / deliverable obligation, and the maturity of both the reference / deliverable obligation and the CDS. In addition, key features of the CDS (e.g. credit event definitions, settlement mechanisms) should not cause the price

movement of the CDS to materially deviate from the price movements of the cash position. To the extent that the transaction transfers risk, an 80% specific risk offset will be applied to the side of the transaction with the higher capital charge, while the specific risk requirement on the other side will be zero.

- (iii) Banks may offset partially the specific risk capital charges when the value of the two legs (i.e. long and short) usually moves in the opposite direction. This would be the case in the following situations:
- (a) The position is captured in paragraph 2.3.2.2.1(ii) but there is an asset mismatch between the cash position and the CDS. However, the underlying asset is included in the (reference / deliverable) obligations in the CDS documentation and meets the requirements of paragraph 4(k) of Prudential Guidelines on CDS.
 - (b) The position is captured in paragraph 2.3.2.2.1 (ii) but there is maturity mismatch between credit protection and the underlying asset. However, the underlying asset is included in the (reference / deliverable) obligations in the CDS documentation.
 - (c) In each of the cases in paragraph (a) and (b) above, rather than applying specific risk capital requirements on each side of the transaction (i.e. the credit protection and the underlying asset), only higher of the two capital requirements will apply.

2.3.2.2.2 Specific Risk Charge in CDS Positions which are not meant for Hedging

In cases not captured in paragraph 2.3.2.2.1, a specific risk capital charge will be assessed against both sides of the positions.

2.3.3 Capital Charge for Counterparty Credit Risk

The credit exposure for the purpose of counterparty credit risk on account of CDS transactions in the Trading Book will be calculated according to the Current Exposure Method⁹ under Basel II framework.

2.3.3.1 Protection Seller

A protection seller will have exposure to the protection buyer only if the fee / premia are outstanding. In such cases, the counterparty credit risk charge for all single name long CDS positions in the Trading Book will be calculated as the sum of the current marked-to-market value, if positive (zero, if marked-to-market value is negative) and the potential

future exposure add-on factors based on table given below. However, the add-on will be capped to the amount of unpaid premia.

| Add-on Factors for Protection Sellers | |
|--|----------------------|
| (As % of Notional Principal of CDS) | |
| Type of Reference Obligation | Add-on Factor |
| Obligations rated BBB- and above | 10% |
| Below BBB- and unrated | 20% |

2.3.3.2 Protection Buyer

A CDS contract creates a counterparty exposure on the protection seller on account of the credit event payment. The counterparty credit risk charge for all short CDS positions in the Trading Book will be calculated as the sum of the current marked-to-market value, if positive (zero, if marked-to-market value is negative) and the potential future exposure add-on factors based on table given below

| Add-on Factors for Protection Buyers | |
|---|----------------------|
| (As % of Notional Principal of CDS) | |
| Type of Reference Obligation | Add-on Factor |
| Obligations rated BBB- and above | 10% |
| Below BBB- and unrated | 20% |

2.3.3.3 Capital Charge for Counterparty Risk for Collateralised Transactions in CDS

As mentioned in paragraph 3.3 of the circular IDMD.PCD.No.5053/14.03.04/2010-11 dated May 23, 2011, collaterals and margins would be maintained by the individual market participants. The counterparty exposure for CDS traded in the OTC market will be calculated as per the Current Exposure Method. Under this method, the calculation of the counterparty credit risk charge for an individual contract, taking into account the collateral, will be as follows:

$$\text{Counterparty risk capital charge} = [(\text{RC} + \text{add-on}) - \text{CA}] \times r \times 9\%$$

where:

RC = the replacement cost,

add-on = the amount for potential future exposure calculated according to paragraph 7 above.

CA = the volatility adjusted amount of eligible collateral under the comprehensive approach prescribed in paragraphs 7.3 "Credit Risk Mitigation Techniques -

Collateralised Transactions" of the Master Circular on New Capital Adequacy Framework dated July 2, 2012, or zero if no eligible collateral is applied to the transaction, and

r = the risk weight of the counterparty.

2.3.4 Treatment of Exposures below Materiality Thresholds

Materiality thresholds on payments below which no payment is made in the event of loss are equivalent to retained first loss positions and should be assigned risk weight of 1111% for capital adequacy purpose by the protection buyer.

2.4 Capital Charge for Subsidiaries

2.4.1 The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has proposed that the New Capital Adequacy Framework should be extended to include, on a consolidated basis, holding companies that are parents of banking groups. On prudential considerations, it is necessary to adopt best practices in line with international standards, while duly reflecting local conditions.

2.4.2 Accordingly, banks may voluntarily build-in the risk weighted components of their subsidiaries into their own balance sheet on notional basis, at par with the risk weights applicable to the bank's own assets. Banks should earmark additional capital in their books over a period of time so as to obviate the possibility of impairment to their net worth when switchover to unified balance sheet for the group as a whole is adopted after sometime. Thus banks were asked to provide additional capital in their books in phases, beginning from the year ended March 2001.

2.4.3 A consolidated bank defined as a group of entities which include a licensed bank should maintain a minimum Capital to Risk-weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR) as applicable to the parent bank on an ongoing basis. While computing capital funds, parent bank may consider the following points:

- i. Banks are required to maintain a minimum capital to risk weighted assets ratio of 9%. Non-bank subsidiaries are required to maintain the capital adequacy ratio prescribed by their respective regulators. In case of any shortfall in the capital adequacy ratio of any of the subsidiaries, the parent should maintain capital in addition to its own regulatory requirements to cover the shortfall.
- ii. Risks inherent in deconsolidated entities (i.e., entities which are not consolidated

in the Consolidated Prudential Reports) in the group need to be assessed and any shortfall in the regulatory capital in the deconsolidated entities should be deducted (in equal proportion from Tier I and Tier II capital) from the consolidated bank's capital in the proportion of its equity stake in the entity.

2.5 Procedure for computation of CRAR

2.5.1 While calculating the aggregate of funded and non-funded exposure of a borrower for the purpose of assignment of risk weight, banks may 'net-off' against the total outstanding exposure of the borrower -

- (a) advances collateralised by cash margins or deposits;
- (b) credit balances in current or other accounts which are not earmarked for specific purposes and free from any lien;
- (c) in respect of any assets where provisions for depreciation or for bad debts have been made;
- (d) claims received from DICGC/ ECGC and kept in a separate account pending adjustment; and
- (e) subsidies received against advances in respect of Government sponsored schemes and kept in a separate account.

2.5.2 After applying the conversion factor as indicated in **Annex 10**, the adjusted off Balance Sheet value shall again be multiplied by the risk weight attributable to the relevant counter-party as specified.

2.5.3 Computation of CRAR for Foreign Exchange Contracts and Gold: Foreign exchange contracts include- Cross currency interest rate swaps, Forward foreign exchange contracts, Currency futures, Currency options purchased, and other contracts of a similar nature

Foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 calendar days or less, irrespective of the counterparty, may be assigned "zero" risk weight as per international practice.

As in the case of other off-Balance Sheet items, a two stage calculation prescribed below shall be applied:

- (a) Step 1 - The notional principal amount of each instrument is multiplied by the conversion factor given below:

| Residual Maturity | Conversion Factor |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| One year or less | 2% |
| Over one year to five years | 10% |
| Over five years | 15% |

- (b) Step 2 - The adjusted value thus obtained shall be multiplied by the risk weight age allotted to the relevant counter-party as given in Step 2 in section D of **Annex 10**.

2.5.4 Computation of CRAR for Interest Rate related Contracts:

Interest rate contracts include the Single currency interest rate swaps, Basis swaps, Forward rate agreements, Interest rate futures, Interest rate options purchased and other contracts of a similar nature. As in the case of other off-Balance Sheet items, a two stage calculation prescribed below shall be applied:

- (a) Step 1 - The notional principal amount of each instrument is multiplied by the percentages given below :

| Residual Maturity | Conversion Factor |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| One year or less | 0.5% |
| Over one year to five years | 1.0% |
| Over five years | 3.0% |

- (b) Step 2 -The adjusted value thus obtained shall be multiplied by the risk weightage allotted to the relevant counter-party as given in Step 2 in Section I.D. of **Annex 10**.

2.5.5 Aggregation of Capital Charge for Market Risks

The capital charges for specific risk and general market risk are to be computed separately before aggregation. For computing the total capital charge for market risks, the calculations may be plotted in the proforma as depicted in Table 2 below.

Table-2: Total Capital Charge for Market Risk (₹ in crore)

| Risk Category | Capital charge |
|--|-----------------------|
| I. Interest Rate (a+b) | |
| a. General market risk | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Net position (parallel shift) • Horizontal disallowance (curvature) • Vertical disallowance (basis) • Options | |
| b. Specific risk | |
| II. Equity (a+b) | |
| a. General market risk | |
| b. Specific risk | |
| III. Foreign Exchange & Gold | |
| IV. Total capital charge for market risks (I+II+III) | |

2.5.6 Calculation of total risk-weighted assets and capital ratio: Following steps may be followed for calculation of total risk weighted assets and capital ratio:

2.5.6.1 Arrive at the risk weighted assets for credit risk in the banking book and for counterparty credit risk on all OTC derivatives.

2.5.6.2 Convert the capital charge for market risk to notional risk weighted assets by multiplying the capital charge arrived at as above in Proforma by $100 \div 9$ [the present requirement of CRAR is 9% and hence notional risk weighted assets are arrived at by multiplying the capital charge by $(100 \div 9)$]

2.5.6.3 Add the risk-weighted assets for credit risk as at 2.5.6.1 above and notional risk-weighted assets of trading book as at 2.5.6.2 above to arrive at total risk weighted assets for the bank.

2.5.6.4 Compute capital ratio on the basis of regulatory capital maintained and risk-weighted assets.

2.5.7 Computation of Capital available for Market Risk:

Capital required for supporting credit risk should be deducted from total capital funds to arrive at capital available for supporting market risk as illustrated in Table 3 below.

Table-3: Computation of Capital for Market Risk

| | | (₹ in crore) | |
|---|---|--------------|------|
| 1 | Capital funds | | 105 |
| | • Tier I capital ----- | 55 | |
| | • Tier II capital ----- | 50 | |
| 2 | Total risk weighted assets | | 1140 |
| | • RWA for credit risk ----- | 1000 | |
| | • RWA for market risk ----- | 140 | |
| 3 | Total CRAR | | 9.21 |
| 4 | Minimum capital required to support credit risk (1000*9%) | | 90 |
| | • Tier I - 45 (@ 4.5% of 1000)----- | 45 | |
| | • Tier II - 45 (@ 4.5% of 1000)----- | 45 | |
| 5 | Capital available to support market risk (105 - 90) | | 15 |
| | • Tier I - (55 - 45)----- | 10 | |
| | • Tier II - (50 - 45) ----- | 5 | |

2.5.8 Worked out Examples: Two examples for computing capital charge for market risk and credit risk are given in **Annex 11**.

ANNEX 1**Guidelines on Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (PNCPS)
as part of Tier I capital****1. Terms of Issue****1.1. Limits**

The outstanding amount of Tier I Preference Shares along with Innovative Tier 1 instruments shall not exceed 40% of total Tier I capital at any point of time. The above limit will be based on the amount of Tier I capital after deduction of goodwill and other intangible assets but before the deduction of investments. Tier I Preference Shares issued in excess of the overall ceiling of 40% shall be eligible for inclusion under Upper Tier II capital, subject to limits prescribed for Tier II capital. However, investors' rights and obligations would remain unchanged.

1.2. Amount

The amount of PNCPS to be raised may be decided by the Board of Directors of banks.

1.3. Maturity

The PNCPS shall be perpetual.

1.4. Options

- (i) PNCPS shall not be issued with a 'put option' or 'step up option'.
- (ii) However, banks may issue the instruments with a call option at a particular date subject to following conditions:
 - (a) The call option on the instrument is permissible after the instrument has run for at least ten years; and
 - (b) Call option shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI (Department of Banking Operations & Development). While considering the proposals received from banks for exercising the call option the RBI would, among other things, take into consideration the bank's CRAR position both at the time of exercise of the call option and after exercise of the call option.

1.5. Dividend

The rate of dividend payable to the investors may be either a fixed rate or a floating rate referenced to a market determined rupee interest benchmark rate.

1.6. Payment of Dividend

- (a) The issuing bank shall pay dividend subject to availability of distributable surplus out of current year's earnings, and if
 - (i) The bank's CRAR is above the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI;
 - (ii) The impact of such payment does not result in bank's capital to risk weighted assets ratio (CRAR) falling below or remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by Reserve Bank of India;
 - (iii) In the case of half yearly payment of dividends, the balance sheet as at the end of the previous year does not show any accumulated losses; and
 - (iv) In the case of annual payment of dividends, the current year's balance sheet does not show any accumulated losses
- (b) The dividend shall not be cumulative. i.e., dividend missed in a year will not be paid in future years, even if adequate profit is available and the level of CRAR conforms to the regulatory minimum. When dividend is paid at a rate lesser than the prescribed rate, the unpaid amount will not be paid in future years, even if adequate profit is available and the level of CRAR conforms to the regulatory minimum.
- (c) All instances of non-payment of dividend/payment of dividend at a lesser rate than prescribed in consequence of conditions as at (a) above should be reported by the issuing banks to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of Department of Banking Operations & Development and Department of Banking Supervision, Central Office of the Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

1.7 Seniority of Claim

The claims of the investors in PNCPS shall be senior to the claims of investors in equity shares and subordinated to the claims of all other creditors and the depositors.

1.8 Other Conditions

- (a) PNCPS should be fully paid-up, unsecured, and free of any restrictive clauses.
- (b) Investment by FIIs and NRIs shall be within an overall limit of 49% and 24% of the issue respectively, subject to the investment by each FII not exceeding 10% of the issue and investment by each NRI not exceeding 5% of the issue. Investment by FIIs in these instruments shall be outside the ECB limit for rupee denominated corporate

debt as fixed by Government of India from time to time. The overall non-resident holding of Preference Shares and equity shares in public sector banks will be subject to the statutory / regulatory limit.

- (c) Banks should comply with the terms and conditions, if any, stipulated by SEBI / other regulatory authorities in regard to issue of the instruments.

2. Compliance with Reserve Requirements

- (a) The funds collected by various branches of the bank or other banks for the issue and held pending finalisation of allotment of the Tier I Preference Shares will have to be taken into account for the purpose of calculating reserve requirements.
- (b) However, the total amount raised by the bank by issue of PNCPS shall not be reckoned as liability for calculation of net demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will not attract CRR / SLR requirements.

3. Reporting Requirements

3.1 Banks issuing PNCPS shall submit a report to the Chief General Manager-in-charge, Department of Banking Operations & Development, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai giving details of the capital raised, including the terms of issue specified at item 1 above together with a copy of the offer document soon after the issue is completed.

3.2 The issue-wise details of amount raised as PNCPS qualifying for Tier I capital by the bank from FIIs / NRIs are required to be reported within 30 days of the issue to the Chief General Manager, Reserve Bank of India, Foreign Exchange Department, Foreign Investment Division, Central Office, Mumbai 400 001 in the proforma given at the end of this **Annex**. The details of the secondary market sales / purchases by FIIs and the NRIs in these instruments on the floor of the stock exchange shall be reported by the custodians and designated banks, respectively to the Reserve Bank of India through the soft copy of the LEC Returns, on a daily basis, as prescribed in Schedule 2 and 3 of the FEMA Notification No.20 dated 3rd May 2000, as amended from time to time.

4. Investment in Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares issued by other banks/FIs

- (a) A bank's investment in PNCPS issued by other banks and financial institutions will be reckoned along with the investment in other instruments eligible for capital status while computing compliance with the overall ceiling of 10 percent of investing banks' capital funds as prescribed vide circular DBOD.BP.BC.No.3/21.01.002/ 2004-05 dated 6th July 2004.
- (b) Bank's investments in PNCPS issued by other banks / financial institutions will attract a 100% risk weight for capital adequacy purposes.
- (c) A bank's investments in the PNCPS of other banks will be treated as exposure to capital market and be reckoned for the purpose of compliance with the prudential ceiling for capital market exposure as fixed by RBI.

5. Grant of Advances against Tier I Preference Shares

Banks should not grant advances against the security of the PNCPS issued by them.

6. Classification in the Balance sheet

These instruments will be classified as capital and shown under 'Schedule I-Capital' of the Balance sheet.

Reporting Format

(Cf. para 3(2) of Annex – 1)

Details of Investments by FIIs and NRIs in Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares qualifying as Tier-I Capital

- (a) Name of the bank :
- (b) Total issue size / amount raised (in Rupees) :
- (c) Date of issue :

| FIIs | | | NRIs | | |
|------------|---------------|---|-------------|---------------|---|
| No of FIIs | Amount raised | | No. of NRIs | Amount raised | |
| | in Rupees | as a percentage of the total issue size | | in Rupees | as a percentage of the total issue size |
| | | | | | |

It is certified that

- (i) the aggregate investment by all FIIs does not exceed 49 percent of the issue size and investment by no individual FII exceeds 10 percent of the issue size.
- (ii) It is certified that the aggregate investment by all NRIs does not exceed 24 percent of the issue size and investment by no individual NRI exceeds 5 percent of the issue size

Authorised Signatory

Date

Seal of the bank

ANNEX - 2**Terms and Conditions applicable to Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments (IPDI) to qualify for Inclusion as Tier I Capital**

The Innovative Perpetual Debt Instruments (Innovative Instruments) that may be issued as bonds or debentures by Indian banks should meet the following terms and conditions to qualify for inclusion as Tier I Capital for capital adequacy purposes:

1. Terms of Issue of innovative instruments denominated in Indian Rupees

- (i) **Amount**: The amount of innovative instruments to be raised may be decided by the Board of Directors of banks.
- (ii) **Limits**: The total amount raised by a bank through innovative instruments shall not exceed 15 per cent of total Tier I Capital. The eligible amount will be computed with reference to the amount of Tier I Capital as on March 31 of the previous financial year, after deduction of goodwill, DTA and other intangible assets but before the deduction of investments, as required in paragraph 4.4. Innovative instruments in excess of the above limits shall be eligible for inclusion under Tier II, subject to limits prescribed for Tier II capital. However, investors' rights and obligations would remain unchanged.
- (iii) **Maturity period**: The innovative instruments shall be perpetual.
- (iv) **Rate of interest**: The interest payable to the investors may be either at a fixed rate or at a floating rate referenced to a market determined rupee interest benchmark rate.
- (v) **Options**: Innovative instruments shall not be issued with a 'put option' or a 'step-up option'. However banks may issue the instruments with a call option subject to strict compliance with each of the following conditions:
 - (a) Call option may be exercised after the instrument has run for at least ten years; and
 - (b) Call option shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI (Department of Banking Operations & Development). While considering the proposals received from banks for exercising the call option the RBI would, among other things, take into consideration the bank's CRAR position both at the time of exercise of the call option and after exercise

of the call option.

(vi) Lock-In Clause :

- (a) Innovative instruments shall be subjected to a lock-in clause in terms of which the issuing bank shall not be liable to pay interest, if
 - i) the bank's CRAR is below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI; OR
 - ii) the impact of such payment results in bank's capital to risk assets ratio (CRAR) falling below or remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by Reserve Bank of India;
- (b) However, banks may pay interest with the prior approval of RBI when the impact of such payment may result in net loss or increase the net loss, provided the CRAR remains above the regulatory norm.
- (c) The interest shall not be cumulative.
- (d) All instances of invocation of the lock-in clause should be notified by the issuing banks to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of Department of Banking Operations & Development and Department of Banking Supervision of the Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

(vii) Seniority of claim: The claims of the investors in innovative instruments shall be:

- a) Superior to the claims of investors in equity shares; and
- b) Subordinated to the claims of all other creditors.

(viii) Discount: The innovative instruments shall not be subjected to a progressive discount for capital adequacy purposes since these are perpetual.

(ix) Other conditions

- a) Innovative instruments should be fully paid-up, unsecured, and free of any restrictive clauses.
- b) Investment by FIIs in innovative instruments raised in Indian Rupees shall be outside the ECB limit for rupee denominated corporate debt, as fixed by the Govt. of India from time to time, for investment by FIIs in corporate debt instruments. Investment in these instruments by FIIs and NRIs shall be within an overall limit of 49 per cent and 24 per cent of the issue, respectively, subject to the investment by each FII not exceeding 10 per cent of the issue and investment by each NRI not exceeding five per

cent of the issue.

- c) Banks should comply with the terms and conditions, if any, stipulated by SEBI / other regulatory authorities in regard to issue of the instruments.

2 Terms of issue of innovative instruments denominated in foreign currency

Banks may augment their capital funds through the issue of innovative instruments in foreign currency without seeking the prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India, subject to compliance with the under-mentioned requirements:

- i) Innovative instruments issued in foreign currency should comply with all terms and conditions as applicable to the instruments issued in Indian Rupees.
- ii) Not more than 49 per cent of the eligible amount can be issued in foreign currency.
- iii) Innovative instruments issued in foreign currency shall be outside the limits for foreign currency borrowings indicated below:
 - a) The total amount of Upper Tier II Instruments issued in foreign currency shall not exceed 25 per cent of the unimpaired Tier I capital. This eligible amount will be computed with reference to the amount of Tier I capital as on March 31 of the previous financial year, after deduction of goodwill and other intangible assets but before the deduction of investments, as per para 2.1.4.2(a) of this Master Circular.
 - b) This will be in addition to the existing limit for foreign currency borrowings by Authorised Dealers, stipulated in terms of Master Circular on Risk Management and Inter-Bank Dealings.

3 Compliance with Reserve requirements

The total amount raised by a bank through innovative instruments shall not be reckoned as liability for calculation of net demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will not attract CRR / SLR requirements.

4. Reporting requirements

Banks issuing innovative instruments shall submit a report to the Chief General Manager-in-charge, Department of Banking Operations & Development, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai giving details of the debt raised, including the terms of issue specified at para 1 above , together with a copy of the offer document soon after the issue is

completed.

5. Investment in IPDIs issued by other banks/ FIs

- i) A bank's investment in innovative instruments issued by other banks and financial institutions will be reckoned along with the investment in other instruments eligible for capital status while computing compliance with the overall ceiling of 10 percent for cross holding of capital among banks/FIs prescribed vide circular DBOD.BP.BC.No.3/ 21 .01 .002/ 2004-05 dated 6th July 2004 and also subject to cross holding limits.
- ii) Bank's investments in innovative instruments issued by other banks will attract risk weight for capital adequacy purposes, as prescribed in paragraph 2.1.6(iv) of this Master Circular.

6. Grant of advances against innovative instruments

Banks should not grant advances against the security of the innovative instruments issued by them.

7. Raising of Innovative Instruments for inclusion as Tier I Capital by foreign banks in India

Foreign banks in India may raise Head Office (HO) borrowings in foreign currency for inclusion as Tier I capital subject to the same terms and conditions as mentioned in items 1 to 5 above for Indian banks. In addition, the following terms and conditions would also be applicable:

- i) Maturity period : If the amount of innovative Tier I capital raised as Head Office borrowings shall be retained in India on a perpetual basis .
- ii) Rate of interest: Rate of interest on innovative Tier I capital raised as HO borrowings should not exceed the on-going market rate. Interest should be paid at half yearly rests.
- iii) Withholding tax: Interest payments to the HO will be subject to applicable withholding tax.
- iv) Documentation: The foreign bank raising innovative Tier I capital as HO borrowings should obtain a letter from its HO agreeing to give the loan for supplementing the capital base for the Indian operations of the foreign bank. The loan documentation should confirm that the loan given by HO shall be eligible for

the same level of seniority of claim as the investors in innovative capital instruments issued by Indian banks. The loan agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the Indian law.

- v) Disclosure: The total eligible amount of HO borrowings shall be disclosed in the balance sheet under the head 'Innovative Tier I capital raised in the form of Head Office borrowings in foreign currency'.
- vi) Hedging : The total eligible amount of HO borrowing should remain fully swapped in Indian Rupees with the bank at all times.
- vii) Reporting and certification : Details regarding the total amount of innovative Tier I capital raised as HO borrowings, along with a certification to the effect that the borrowing is in accordance with these guidelines, should be advised to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of the Department of Banking Operations & Development (International Banking Division), Department of External Investments & Operations and Foreign Exchange Department (Forex Markets Division), Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

8. Classification in the Balance Sheet

Banks may indicate the amount raised by issue of IPDI in the Balance Sheet under schedule 4 "Borrowings".

ANNEX - 3**Terms and conditions applicable to Debt Capital Instruments to qualify for inclusion as Upper Tier II Capital**

The debt capital instruments that may be issued as bonds / debentures by Indian banks should meet the following terms and conditions to qualify for inclusion as Upper Tier II Capital for capital adequacy purposes.

1. Terms of issue of Upper Tier II Capital Instruments

- i) *Currency of issue:* Banks shall issue Upper Tier II Instruments in Indian Rupees. Instruments in foreign currency can be issued without seeking the prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India, subject to compliance with the under mentioned requirements:
 - a. Upper Tier II Instruments issued in foreign currency should comply with all terms and conditions (except the 'step-up option') applicable as detailed in the guidelines issued on January 25, 2006, unless specifically modified.
 - b. The total amount of Upper Tier II Instruments issued in foreign currency shall not exceed 25% of the unimpaired Tier I Capital. This eligible amount will be computed with reference to the amount of Tier I Capital as on March 31 of the previous financial year, after deduction of goodwill and other intangible assets but before the deduction of investments.
 - c. This will be in addition to the existing limit for foreign currency borrowings by Authorised Dealers in terms of Master Circular on Risk Management and Inter-Bank Dealings.
 - d. Investment by FIIs in Upper Tier II Instruments raised in Indian Rupees shall be outside the limit for investment in corporate debt instruments. However, investment by FIIs in these instruments will be subject to a separate ceiling of USD 500 million.
- ii) *Amount:* The amount of Upper Tier II Instruments to be raised may be decided by the Board of Directors of banks.
- iii) *Limit:* Upper Tier II Instruments along with other components of Tier II capital shall not exceed 100% of Tier I capital. The above limit will be based on the amount of Tier I capital after deduction of goodwill and other intangible assets

but before the deduction of investments.

- iv) *Maturity Period*: The Upper Tier II instruments should have a minimum maturity of 15 years.
- v) *Rate of interest*: The interest payable to the investors may be either at a fixed rate or at a floating rate referenced to a market determined rupee interest benchmark rate.
- vi) *Options*: Upper Tier II instruments shall not be issued with a 'put option' or a 'step-up option'. However banks may issue the instruments with a 'call option' subject to strict compliance with each of the following conditions:
 - Call options may be exercised only if the instrument has run for at least ten years;
 - Call options shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI (Department of Banking Operations & Development). While considering the proposals received from banks for exercising the call option the RBI would, among other things, take into consideration the bank's CRAR position both at the time of exercise of the call option and after exercise of the call option.

vii) *Lock-In Clause*

- a. Upper Tier II instruments shall be subjected to a lock-in clause in terms of which the issuing bank shall not be liable to pay either interest or principal, even at maturity, if
 - the bank's CRAR is below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI, or
 - the impact of such payment results in bank's CRAR falling below or remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI.
- b. However, banks may pay interest with the prior approval of RBI when the impact of such payment may result in net loss or increase the net loss provided CRAR remains above the regulatory norm. For this purpose 'Net Loss' would mean either (a) the accumulated loss at the end of the previous financial year; or (b) the loss incurred during the current financial year.
- c. The interest amount due and remaining unpaid may be allowed to be paid in the later years in cash/ cheque subject to the bank complying with the

above regulatory requirement. While paying such unpaid interest and principal, banks are allowed to pay compound interest at a rate not exceeding the coupon rate of the relative Upper Tier II bonds, on the outstanding principal and interest.

d. All instances of invocation of the lock-in clause should be notified by the issuing banks to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of Department of Banking Operations & Development and Department of Banking Supervision of the Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

viii) *Seniority of claim:* The claims of the investors in Upper Tier II instruments shall be

- Superior to the claims of investors in instruments eligible for inclusion in Tier I capital; and
- Subordinate to the claims of all other creditors.

ix) *Discount:* The Upper Tier II instruments shall be subjected to a progressive discount for capital adequacy purposes as in the case of long-term subordinated debt over the last five years of their tenor. As they approach maturity these instruments should be subjected to progressive discount as indicated in the table below for being eligible for inclusion in Tier II capital.

| Remaining Maturity of Instruments | Rate of Discount (%) |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Less than one year | 100 |
| One year and more but less than two years | 80 |
| Two years and more but less than three years | 60 |
| Three years and more but less than four years | 40 |
| Four years and more but less than five years | 20 |

x) *Redemption:* Upper Tier II instruments shall not be redeemable at the initiative of the holder. All redemptions shall be made only with the prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India (Department of Banking Operations & Development).

xi) *Other conditions*

- (a) Upper Tier II instruments shall be fully paid-up, unsecured, and free of any restrictive clauses.
- (b) Investment in Upper Tier II instruments by FIIs shall be within the limits as laid down in the ECB Policy for investment in debt instruments. In addition, NRIs

shall also be eligible to invest in these instruments as per existing policy.

- (c) Banks should comply with the terms and conditions, if any, stipulated by SEBI/other regulatory authorities in regard to issue of the instruments.

2. Compliance with Reserve requirements

- (i) The funds collected by various branches of the bank or other banks for the issue and held pending finalisation of allotment of the Upper Tier II Capital instruments will have to be taken into account for the purpose of calculating reserve requirements.
- (ii) The total amount raised by a bank through Upper Tier II instruments shall be reckoned as liability for the calculation of net demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will attract CRR/SLR requirements.

3. Reporting requirements

Banks issuing Upper Tier II instruments shall submit a report to the Chief General Manager-in-charge, Department of Banking Operations & Development, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai giving details of the debt raised, including the terms of issue specified at item 1 above together with a copy of the offer document soon after the issue is completed.

4. Investment in Upper Tier II Instruments issued by other banks/ FIs

- A bank's investment in Upper Tier II instruments issued by other banks and financial institutions will be reckoned along with the investment in other instruments eligible for capital status while computing compliance with the overall ceiling of 10 percent for cross holding of capital among banks/FIs prescribed vide circular DBOD.BP.BC.No.3/ 21.01.002/ 2004- 05 dated July 6, 2004 and also subject to cross holding limits.
- Bank's investments in Upper Tier II instruments issued by other banks/ financial institutions will attract a 100% risk weight for capital adequacy purposes.

5. Grant of advances against Upper Tier II Instruments

Banks shall not grant advances against the security of the Upper Tier II instruments issued by them.

6. Classification in the Balance Sheet

Banks may indicate the amount raised by issue of Upper Tier II instruments by way of explanatory notes / remarks in the Balance Sheet as well as under the head "Hybrid debt capital instruments issued as bonds/debentures" under Schedule 4 - 'Borrowings'.

7. Raising of Upper Tier II Instruments by foreign banks in India

Foreign banks in India may raise Head Office (HO) borrowings in foreign currency for inclusion as Upper Tier II capital subject to the same terms and conditions as mentioned in items 1 to 5 above for Indian banks. In addition, the following terms and conditions would also be applicable:

- i. Maturity period: If the amount of Upper Tier II capital raised as Head Office borrowings is in tranches, each tranche shall be retained in India for a minimum period of fifteen years.
- ii. Rate of Interest: Rate of interest on Upper Tier II capital raised as HO borrowings should not exceed the on-going market rate. Interest should be paid at half-yearly rests.
- iii. Withholding Tax: Interest payments to the HO will be subject to applicable withholding tax.
- iv. Documentation: The foreign bank raising Upper Tier II capital as HO borrowings should obtain a letter from its HO agreeing to give the loan for supplementing the capital base for the Indian operations of the foreign bank. The loan documentation should confirm that the loan given by Head Office shall be eligible for the same level of seniority of claim as the investors in Upper Tier II debt capital instruments issued by Indian banks. The loan agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the Indian law.
- v. Disclosure: The total eligible amount of HO borrowings shall be disclosed in the balance sheet under the head 'Upper Tier II capital raised in the form of Head Office borrowings in foreign currency' under Schedule 4- "Borrowings".
- vi. Hedging: The total eligible amount of HO borrowing should remain fully swapped in Indian Rupees with the bank at all times.
- vii. Reporting and certification: Details regarding the total amount of Upper Tier II capital raised as HO borrowings, along with a certification to the effect that the

borrowing is in accordance with these guidelines, should be advised to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of the Department of Banking Operations & Development (International Banking Division), Department of External Investments & Operations and Foreign Exchange Department (Forex Markets Division), Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

ANNEX - 4**Terms and conditions applicable to Perpetual Cumulative Preference Shares (PCPS) / Redeemable Non-Cumulative Preference Shares (RNCPS) / Redeemable Cumulative Preference Shares (RCPS) as part of Upper Tier II Capital****1 Terms of Issue****1.1 Characteristics of the instruments**

- (a) These instruments could be either perpetual (PCPS) or dated (RNCPS and RCPS) instruments with a fixed maturity of minimum 15 years.
- (b) The perpetual instruments shall be cumulative. The dated instruments could be cumulative or non-cumulative

1.2 Limits

The outstanding amount of these instruments along with other components of Tier II capital shall not exceed 100% of Tier I capital at any point of time. The above limit will be based on the amount of Tier I capital after deduction of goodwill and other intangible assets but before the deduction of investments.

1.3 Amount

The amount to be raised may be decided by the Board of Directors of banks.

1.4 Options

- (i) These instruments shall not be issued with a 'put option' or 'step-up option'.
- (ii) However, banks may issue the instruments with a call option at a particular date subject to strict compliance with each of the following conditions:
 - (a) The call option on the instrument is permissible after the instrument has run for at least ten years; and
 - (b) Call option shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI (Department of Banking Operations & Development). While considering the proposals received from banks for exercising the call option the RBI would, among other things, take into consideration the bank's CRAR position both at the time of exercise of the call option and after exercise of the call option.

1.5 Coupon

The coupon payable to the investors may be either at a fixed rate or at a floating rate referenced to a market determined rupee interest benchmark rate.

1.6 Payment of coupon

1.6.1 The coupon payable on these instruments will be treated as interest and accordingly debited to P& L Account. However, it will be payable only if

- (a) The bank's CRAR is above the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI
- (b) The impact of such payment does not result in bank's CRAR falling below or remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI
- (c) The bank does not have a net loss. For this purpose the Net Loss is defined as either (i) the accumulated loss at the end of the previous financial year / half year as the case may be; or (ii) the loss incurred during the current financial year.
- (d) In the case of PCPS and RCPS the unpaid/partly unpaid coupon will be treated as a liability. The interest amount due and remaining unpaid may be allowed to be paid in later years subject to the bank complying with the above requirements.
- (e) In the case of RNCPS, deferred coupon will not be paid in future years, even if adequate profit is available and the level of CRAR conforms to the regulatory minimum. The bank can however pay a coupon at a rate lesser than the prescribed rate, if adequate profit is available and the level of CRAR conforms to the regulatory minimum.

1.6.2 All instances of non-payment of interest/payment of interest at a lesser rate than prescribed rate should be notified by the issuing banks to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of Department of Banking Operations & Development and Department of Banking Supervision, Central Office of the Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.

1.7 Redemption / repayment of redeemable Preference Shares included in Upper Tier II

1.7.1 All these instruments shall not be redeemable at the initiative of the holder.

1.7.2 Redemption of these instruments at maturity shall be made only with the prior approval of the Reserve Bank of India (Department of Banking Operations and

Development, subject inter alia to the following conditions :

- (a) the bank's CRAR is above the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI
- (b) the impact of such payment does not result in bank's CRAR falling below or remaining below the minimum regulatory requirement prescribed by RBI

1.8 Seniority of claim

The claims of the investors in these instruments shall be senior to the claims of investors in instruments eligible for inclusion in Tier I capital and subordinate to the claims of all other creditors including those in Lower Tier II and the depositors. Amongst the investors of various instruments included in Upper Tier II, the claims shall rank pari-passu with each other.

1.9 Amortisation for the purpose of computing CRAR

The Redeemable Preference Shares (both cumulative and non-cumulative) shall be subjected to a progressive discount for capital adequacy purposes over the last five years of their tenor, as they approach maturity as indicated in the table below for being eligible for inclusion in Tier II capital.

| Remaining Maturity of Instruments | Rate of Discount (%) |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Less than one year | 100 |
| One year and more but less than two years | 80 |
| Two years and more but less than three years | 60 |
| Three years and more but less than four years | 40 |
| Four years and more but less than five years | 20 |

1.10 Other conditions

- (a) These instruments should be fully paid-up, unsecured, and free of any restrictive clauses.
- (b) Investment by FIIs and NRIs shall be within an overall limit of 49% and 24% of the issue respectively, subject to the investment by each FII not exceeding

10% of the issue and investment by each NRI not exceeding 5% of the issue. Investment by FIIs in these instruments shall be outside the ECB limit for rupee denominated corporate debt as fixed by Government of India from time to time. However, investment by FIIs in these instruments will be subject to separate ceiling. The overall nonresident holding of Preference Shares and equity shares in public sector banks will be subject to the statutory / regulatory limit.

- (c) Banks should comply with the terms and conditions, if any, stipulated by SEBI / other regulatory authorities in regard to issue of the instruments.

2 Compliance with Reserve requirements

(a) The funds collected by various branches of the bank or other banks for the issue and held pending finalization of allotment of these instruments will have to be taken into account for the purpose of calculating reserve requirements.

(b) The total amount raised by a bank through the issue of these instruments shall be reckoned as liability for the calculation of net demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will attract CRR / SLR requirements.

3 Reporting requirements

Banks issuing these instruments shall submit a report to the Chief General Manager-in-charge, Department of Banking Operations & Development, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai giving details of the debt raised, including the terms of issue specified at item 1 above together with a copy of the offer document soon after the issue is completed.

4. Investment in these instruments issued by other banks / FIs

(a) A bank's investment in these instruments issued by other banks and financial institutions will be reckoned along with the investment in other instruments eligible for capital status while computing compliance with the overall ceiling of 10 percent of investing banks' capital funds prescribed vide circular DBOD.BP.BC.No.3/ 21.01.002/ 2004-05 dated 6th July 2004 and also subject to

cross holding limits.

(b) Bank's investments in these instruments issued by other banks / financial institutions will attract a 100% risk weight for capital adequacy purposes.

5. Grant of advances against these instruments

Banks should not grant advances against the security of these instruments issued by them.

6. Classification in the balance sheet

These instruments will be classified as borrowings under Schedule 4- Borrowings of the Balance sheet as item No.1.

ANNEX - 5**Issue of unsecured bonds as subordinated debt by banks for raising Tier-II capital**

Foreign banks operating in India are not permitted to raise Rupee Tier II subordinated debt in India.

1. Terms of issue of bond

To be eligible for inclusion in Tier – II Capital, terms of issue of the bonds as subordinated debt instruments should be in conformity with the following :

(a) Amount

The amount of subordinated debt to be raised may be decided by the Board of Directors of the bank.

(b) Maturity period

(i) Subordinated debt instruments with an initial maturity period of less than 5 years, or with a remaining maturity of one year should not be included as part of Tier-II Capital. They should be subjected to progressive discount as they approach maturity at the rates shown below:

| Remaining maturity of the instruments | Rate of discount |
|---|-------------------------|
| a) Less than One year | 100% |
| b) More than One year and less than Two years | 80% |
| c) More than Two years and less than Three years | 60% |
| d) More than three years and less than Four Years | 40% |
| e) More than Four years and less than Five years | 20% |

(ii) The bonds should have a minimum maturity of 5 years. However if the bonds are issued in the last quarter of the year i.e. from 1st January to 31st March, they should have a minimum tenure of sixty three months.

(c) Rate of interest

The coupon rate would be decided by the Board of Directors of banks.

(d) Options

Subordinated debt instruments shall not be issued with a 'put option' or 'step-up option'. However banks may issue the instruments with a call option subject to strict compliance with each of the following conditions:

- (i) Call option may be exercised after the instrument has run for at least **five years**; and
- (ii) Call option shall be exercised only with the prior approval of RBI (Department of Banking Operations & Development). While considering the proposals received from banks for exercising the call option the RBI would, among other things, take into consideration the bank's CRAR position both at the time of exercise of the call option and after exercise of the call option.

(e) Other conditions

- (i) The instruments should be fully paid-up, unsecured, subordinated to the claims of other creditors, free of restrictive clauses and should not be redeemable at the initiative of the holder or without the consent of the Reserve Bank of India.
- (ii) Necessary permission from Foreign Exchange Department should be obtained for issuing the instruments to NRIs/ FIIs.
- (iii) Banks should comply with the terms and conditions, if any, set by SEBI/other regulatory authorities in regard to issue of the instruments.

2. Inclusion in Tier II capital

Subordinated debt instruments will be limited to 50 per cent of Tier-I Capital of the bank. These instruments, together with other components of Tier II capital, should not exceed 100% of Tier I capital.

3. Grant of advances against bonds

Banks should not grant advances against the security of their own bonds.

4. Compliance with Reserve requirements

The total amount of Subordinated Debt raised by the bank has to be reckoned as liability for the calculation of net demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will attract CRR/SLR requirements.

5. Treatment of Investment in subordinated debt

Investments by banks in subordinated debt of other banks will be assigned 100% risk weight for capital adequacy purpose. Also, the bank's aggregate investment in Tier II bonds issued by other banks and financial institutions shall be within the overall ceiling of 10 percent of the investing bank's total capital. The capital for this purpose will be the same as that reckoned for the purpose of capital adequacy.

6. Subordinated Debt in foreign currency raised by Indian banks: Banks may take approval of RBI on a case-by-case basis.

7. Subordinated debt to retail investors: Banks issuing subordinated debt to retail investors are advised to adhere to the following conditions:

- a) The requirement for specific sign-off as quoted below, from the investors for having understood the features and risks of the instrument may be incorporated in the common application form of the proposed debt issue.

" By making this application, I/We acknowledge that I/We have understood the terms and conditions of the Issue of [insert the name of the instruments being issued J of [Name of The Bank J as disclosed in the Draft Shelf Prospectus, Shelf Prospectus and Tranche Document ".

- b) For floating rate instruments, banks should not use its Fixed Deposit rate as benchmark.
- c) All the publicity material, application form and other communication with the investor should clearly state in bold letters (with font size 14) how a subordinated bond is different from fixed deposit particularly that it is not covered by deposit insurance.

8. Reporting requirements

The banks should submit a report to Reserve Bank of India giving details of the capital raised, such as, amount raised, maturity of the instrument, rate of interest together with a copy of the offer document soon after the issue is completed.

9. Classification in the Balance Sheet: These instruments should be classified under 'Schedule 4 – Borrowings' of the Balance sheet.

ANNEX 6**Subordinated debt – Head Office borrowings in foreign currency raised by foreign banks operating in India for inclusion in Tier II capital**

Detailed guidelines on the standard requirements and conditions for Head Office (HO) borrowings in foreign currency raised by foreign banks operating in India for inclusion, as subordinated debt in Tier II capital are as indicated below:-

1. Amount of borrowing

The total amount of HO borrowing in foreign currency will be at the discretion of the foreign bank. However, the amount eligible for inclusion in Tier II capital as subordinated debt will be subject to a maximum ceiling of 50% of the Tier I capital maintained in India, and the applicable discount rate mentioned in para 5 below. Further as per extant instructions, the total of Tier II capital should not exceed 100% of Tier I capital.

2. Maturity period

Head Office borrowings should have a minimum initial maturity of 5 years. If the borrowing is in tranches, each tranche will have to be retained in India for a minimum period of five years. HO borrowings in the nature of perpetual subordinated debt, where there may be no final maturity date, will not be permitted.

3. Features

The HO borrowings should be fully paid up, i.e. the entire borrowing or each tranche of the borrowing should be available in full to the branch(es) in India. It should be unsecured, subordinated to the claims of other creditors of the foreign bank in India, free of restrictive clauses and should not be redeemable at the instance of the HO.

4. Rate of discount

The HO borrowings will be subjected to progressive discount as they approach maturity at the rates indicated below:

| Remaining maturity of borrowing | Rate of discount |
|---|---|
| More than 5 years | Not Applicable (the entire amount can be included as subordinated debt in Tier II capital subject to the ceiling mentioned in para 2) |
| More than 4 years and less than 5 years | 20% |
| More than 3 years and less than 4 years | 40% |
| More than 2 years and less than 3 years | 60% |
| More than 1 year and less than 2 years | 80% |
| Less than 1 year | 100% (No amount can be treated as subordinated debt for Tier II capital) |

5. Rate of interest

The rate of interest on HO borrowings should not exceed the on-going market rate. Interest should be paid at half yearly rests.

6. Withholding tax

The interest payments to the HO will be subject to applicable withholding tax.

7. Repayment

All repayments of the principal amount will be subject to prior approval of Reserve Bank of India, Department of Banking Operations and Development.

8. Documentation

The bank should obtain a letter from its HO agreeing to give the loan for supplementing the capital base for the Indian operations of the foreign bank. The loan documentation should confirm that the loan given by Head Office would be subordinated to the claims of all other creditors of the foreign bank in India. The loan agreement will be governed by, and construed in accordance with the Indian law. Prior approval of the RBI should be obtained in case of any material changes in the original terms of issue.

9. Reserve requirements

The total amount of HO borrowings is to be reckoned as liability for the calculation of net

demand and time liabilities for the purpose of reserve requirements and, as such, will attract CRR/SLR requirements.

10. Hedging

The total eligible amount of HO borrowing should remain fully swapped with banks at all times. The swap should be in Indian rupees.

11. Reporting & Certification

Such borrowings done in compliance with the guidelines set out above would not require prior approval of RBI. However, information regarding the total amount of borrowing raised from Head Office under this circular, along with a certification to the effect that the borrowing is as per the guidelines, should be advised to the Chief General Managers-in-Charge of the Department of Banking Operations & Development (International Banking Section), Department of External Investments & Operations and Foreign Exchange Department (Forex Markets Division), RBI, Mumbai.

12. Classification in the Balance Sheet.

The total eligible amount of HO borrowings may be disclosed in the balance sheet under the head 'subordinated loan in the nature of long term borrowings in foreign currency from Head Office' in Schedule 4 - Borrowings.

ANNEX 7

CAPITAL CHARGE FOR SPECIFIC RISK

| Sr. No. | Nature of investment | Maturity | Specific risk capital charge (as % of exposure) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Claims on Government | | | |
| 1. | Investments in Government Securities. | All | 0.0 |
| 2. | Investments in other approved securities guaranteed by Central/State Government. | All | 0.0 |
| 3. | Investments in other securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are guaranteed by Central Govt. (This will include investments in Indira/ Kisan Vikas Patra (IVP/KVP) and investments in Bonds and Debentures where payment of interest and principal is guaranteed by Central Govt.) | All | 0.0 |
| 4. | Investments in other securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are guaranteed by State Governments. | All | 0.0 |
| 5. | Investments in other approved securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are not guaranteed by Central/State Govt. | All | 1.80 |
| 6. | Investments in Government guaranteed securities of Government Undertakings which do not form part of the approved market borrowing programme. | All | 1.80 |
| 7. | Investment in state government guaranteed securities included under items 2, 4 and 6 above where the investment is non-performing. However the banks need to maintain capital at 9.0% only on those State Government guaranteed securities issued by the defaulting entities and not on all the securities issued or guaranteed by that State Government. | All | 9.00 |
| 8. | Claims on banks, including investments in securities which are guaranteed by banks as to payment of interest and repayment of principal | For residual term to final maturity 6 months or less | 0.30 |
| | | For residual term to final maturity between 6 and 24 months | 1.125 |
| | | For residual term to final maturity exceeding 24 months | 1.80 |

| | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-------|
| 9. | Investments in subordinated debt instruments and bonds issued by other banks for their Tier II capital. | All | 9.00 |
| | Claims on Others | | |
| 10. | Investment in Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS) of residential assets of Housing Finance Companies (HFCs) which are recognised and supervised by National Housing Bank (subject to satisfying terms & conditions given in Annex 10.2 | All | 4.50 |
| 11. | Investment in Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS) which are backed by housing loan qualifying for 50% risk weight. | All | 4.50 |
| 12. | Investment in securitised paper pertaining to an infrastructure facility | All | 4.50 |
| 13. | All other investments including investment in securities issued by SPVs set up for securitisation transactions. | All | 9.00 |
| 14. | Direct investments in equity shares, convertible bonds, debentures and units of equity oriented mutual funds including those exempted from Capital Market Exposure norms. | All | 11.25 |
| 15. | Investment in Mortgage Backed Securities and other securitised exposures to Commercial Real Estate | All | 13.5 |
| 16. | Investments in Venture Capital Funds | All | 13.5 |
| 17. | Investments in instruments issued by NBFC-ND-SI | All | 9.00 |
| 18. | Investments in Security Receipts issued by Securitisation Company/ Asset reconstruction Company and held under AFS portfolio | All | 13.5 |

The category 'claim on Government' will include all forms of Government securities including dated Government securities, Treasury bills and other short-term investments and instruments where repayment of both principal and interest are fully guaranteed by the Government. The category 'Claims on others' will include issuers of securities other than Government and banks.

ANNEX 8

DURATION METHOD

(Time bands and assumed changes in yield)

| Time Bands | Assumed Change in Yield |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Zone 1 | |
| 1 month or less | 1.00 |
| 1 to 3 months | 1.00 |
| 3 to 6 months | 1.00 |
| 6 to 12 months | 1.00 |
| Zone 2 | |
| 1.0 to 1.9 years | 0.90 |
| 1.9 to 2.8 years | 0.80 |
| 2.8 to 3.6 years | 0.75 |
| Zone 3 | |
| 3.6 to 4.3 years | 0.75 |
| 4.3 to 5.7 years | 0.70 |
| 5.7 to 7.3 years | 0.65 |
| 7.3 to 9.3 years | 0.60 |
| 9.3 to 10.6 years | 0.60 |
| 10.6 to 12 years | 0.60 |
| 12 to 20 years | 0.60 |
| over 20 years | 0.60 |

ANNEX 9

Horizontal Disallowances

| Zones | Time band | Within the zones | Between adjacent zones | Between zones 1 and 3 |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Zone 1 | 1 month or less | 40% | 40% | 100% |
| | 1 to 3 months | | | |
| | 3 to 6 months | | | |
| | 6 to 12 months | | | |
| Zone 2 | 1.0 to 1.9 years | 30% | 40% | |
| | 1.9 to 2.8 years | | | |
| | 2.8 to 3.6 years | | | |
| Zone 3 | 3.6 to 4.3 years | 30% | 40% | |
| | 4.3 to 5.7 years | | | |
| | 5.7 to 7.3 years | | | |
| | 7.3 to 9.3 years | | | |
| | 9.3 to 10.6 years | | | |
| | 10.6 to 12 years | | | |
| | 12 to 20 years | | | |
| | over 20 years | | | |

Note: Capital charges should be calculated for each currency separately and then summed with no offsetting between positions of opposite sign. In the case of those currencies in which business is insignificant (where the turnover in the respective currency is less than 5 per cent of overall foreign exchange turnover), separate calculations for each currency are not required. The bank may, instead, slot within each appropriate time-band, the net long or short position for each currency. However, these individual net positions are to be summed within each time-band, irrespective of whether they are long or short positions, to produce a gross position figure. In the case of residual currencies the gross positions in each time-band will be subject to the assumed change in yield set out in table with no further offsets.

ANNEX 10

Risk Weights for Calculation of Capital Charge for Credit Risk**I. Domestic Operations****A. Funded Risk Assets**

| Sr. No. | Item of asset or liability | Risk Weight % |
|----------------|--|----------------------|
| I | Balances | |
| 1. | Cash, balances with RBI | 0 |
| 2. | i. Balances in current account with other banks | 20 |
| | ii. Claims on Bank | 20 |
| II | Investments (applicable to securities held in HTM) | |
| 1. | Investments in Government Securities. | 0 |
| 2. | Investments in other approved securities guaranteed by Central/ State Government. Note: If the repayment of principal / interest in respect of State Government Guaranteed securities included in item 2, 4 and 6 has remained in default, for a period of more than 90 days banks should assign 100% risk weight. However the banks need to assign 100% risk weight only on those State Government guaranteed securities issued by the defaulting entities and not on all the securities issued or guaranteed by that State Government. | 0 |
| 3. | Investments in other securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are guaranteed by Central Govt. (This will include investments in Indira/Kisan Vikas Patra (IVP/KVP) and investments in Bonds and Debentures where payment of interest and principal is guaranteed by Central Govt.) | 0 |
| 4. | Investments in other securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are guaranteed by State Governments. | 0 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 5. | Investments in other approved securities where payment of interest and repayment of principal are not guaranteed by Central/State Govt. | 20 |
| 6. | Investments in Government guaranteed securities of Government Undertakings which do not form part of the approved market borrowing programme. | 20 |
| 7. | Claims on commercial banks. Note: The exposure of Indian branches of foreign banks, guaranteed/counter-guaranteed by overseas Head Offices or the bank's branch in other country, would amount to a claim on the parent foreign bank and the risk weight of such exposure would depend upon the rating (assigned by the international rating agencies) of the overseas parent of the Indian branch. | 20 |
| 8. | Investments in bonds issued by other banks | 20 |
| 9. | Investments in securities which are guaranteed by banks as to payment of interest and repayment of principal. | 20 |
| 10. | Investments in subordinated debt instruments and bonds issued by other banks or Public Financial Institutions for their Tier II capital. | 100 |
| 11. | Deposits placed with SIDBI/NABARD in lieu of shortfall in lending to priority sector. | 100 |
| 12. | Investment in Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS) of residential assets of Housing Finance Companies (HFCs) which are recognised and supervised by National Housing Bank (subject to satisfying terms & conditions furnished in Annex 10.2). | 50 |
| 13. | Investment in Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS) which are backed by housing loan qualifying for 50% risk weight. | 50 |
| 14. | Investment in securitised paper pertaining to an infrastructure facility. (subject to satisfying terms & conditions given in Annex 10.3). | 50 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| 15 | Investments in debentures/ bonds/ security receipts/ Pass Through Certificates issued by Securitisation Company/ SPVs/ Reconstruction Company and held by banks as investment | 100 |
| 16. | All other investments including investments in securities issued by PFIs. | 100 |
| | <i>Note:</i> Equity investments in subsidiaries, intangible assets and losses deducted from Tier I capital should be assigned zero weight | |
| 17 | Direct investment in equity shares, convertible bonds, debentures and units of equity oriented mutual funds including those exempted from Capital Market Exposure | 125 |
| 18 | Investment in Mortgaged Backed Securities and other securitised exposures to Commercial Real Estate | 150 |
| 19 | Investments in Venture Capital Funds | 150 |
| 20 | Investments in Securities issued by SPVs (in respect of securitisation of standard assets) underwritten and devolved on originator banks during the stipulated period of three months | 100 |
| 21 | Investments in Securities issued by SPVs in respect of securitisation of standard asset underwritten and devolved on bank as third party service provider during the stipulated period of three months | 100 |
| 22 | NPA Investment purchased from other banks | 100 |
| 23 | Investments in instruments issued by NBFC-ND-SI | 100 |
| III | Loans & Advances including bills purchased and discounted and other credit facilities | |
| 1. | Loans guaranteed by Govt. of India <i>Note:</i> The amount outstanding in the account styled as “Amount receivable from Government of India under Agricultural debt Waiver Scheme 2008” shall be treated as a claim on the Government of India and would attract zero risk weight for the purpose of capital adequacy norms. However, the amount outstanding in the accounts covered by the Debt Relief Scheme shall be treated as a claim on the borrowers and risk weighted as per the extant norms. | 0 |

| | | |
|----|--|----------------------------------|
| 2. | Loans guaranteed by State Govts. Note: If the loans guaranteed by State Govts. have remained in default for a period of more than 90 days a risk weight of 100 percent should be assigned. | 0 |
| 3. | Loans granted to public sector undertakings of Govt. of India | 100 |
| 4. | Loans granted to public sector undertakings of State Govts. | 100 |
| 5. | (i) For the purpose of credit exposure, bills purchased/discounted /negotiated under LC (where payment to the beneficiary is not under reserve) is treated as an exposure on the LC issuing bank and assigned risk weight as is normally applicable to inter-bank exposures. (ii) Bills negotiated under LCs 'under reserve', bills purchased/discounted/negotiated without LCs, will be reckoned as exposure on the borrower constituent. Accordingly, the exposure will attract a risk weight appropriate to the borrower. (i) Govt. (ii) Banks (iii) Others | 20 0 20 100 |
| 6. | Others including PFIs | 100 |
| 7. | Leased assets | 100 |
| 8. | Advances covered by DICGC/ECGC Note: The risk weight of 50% should be limited to the amount guaranteed and not the entire outstanding balance in the accounts. In other words, the outstandings in excess of the amount guaranteed, will carry 100% risk weight. | 50 |
| 9. | SSI Advances Guaranteed by Credit Guarantee Fund Trust for Micro and Small Enterprises (CGTMSE) up to the guaranteed portion. Note: Banks may assign zero risk weight for the guaranteed portion. The balance outstanding in excess of the guaranteed portion would attract a risk-weight as appropriate to the counter-party. Two illustrative examples are given in Annex 10.1. | 0 |

| | | |
|-----|--|---------------|
| 10. | Insurance cover under Business Credit Shield, the product of New India Assurance Company Ltd. (Subject to Conditions given in Annex 10.4) Note: The risk weight of 50% should be limited to the amount guaranteed and not the entire outstanding balance in the accounts. In other words, the outstanding in excess of the amount guaranteed, will carry 100% risk weight. | 50 |
| 11 | Advances against term deposits, Life policies, NSCs, IVPs and KVPs where adequate margin is available. | 0 |
| 12. | Loans and Advances granted to staff of banks which are fully covered by superannuation benefits and mortgage of flat/house. | 20 |
| 13. | Housing loans above ₹ 30 lakh sanctioned to individuals against the mortgage of residential housing properties having LTV ratio equal to or less than 75% Note: If restructured | 75 100 |
| 14 | Housing loans up to ₹ 30 lakh sanctioned to individuals against the mortgage of residential housing properties having LTV ratio equal to or less than 75%. Note: If restructured | 50 75 |
| 15 | Housing loans of ₹75 lakh and above sanctioned to individuals (irrespective of LTV ratio) | 125 |
| 16 | Consumer credit including personal loans and credit cards | 125 |
| 16A | Educational Loans | 100 |
| 17 | Loans up to ₹ 1 lakh against gold and silver ornaments | 50 |
| 18. | Takeout Finance | |
| | (i) Unconditional takeover (in the books of lending institution) | |
| | (a) Where full credit risk is assumed by the taking over institution | 20 |
| | (b) Where only partial credit risk is assumed by taking over institution | |
| | (i) The amount to be taken over | 20 |
| | (ii) The amount not to be taken over | 100 |
| | (ii) Conditional take-over (in the books of lending and Taking over institution) | 100 |
| 19 | Capital Market Exposures (CME) including those exempted from CME Norms | 125 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 20 | Fund based exposures commercial real estate* | 100 |
| 21 | Funded liquidity facility for securitisation of standard asset transactions | 100 |
| 22 | NPA purchased from other banks | 100 |
| 23 | Loans & Advances NBFC-ND-SI (other than Asset Finance Companies (AFCs)) & | 100 |
| 24 | All unrated claims on corporate, long term as well as short term, regardless of the amount of the claim | 100 |
| IV | Other Assets | |
| 1. | Premises, furniture and fixtures | 100 |
| 2. | Income tax deducted at source (net of provision) | 0 |
| | Advance tax paid (net of provision) | 0 |
| | Interest due on Government securities | 0 |
| | Accrued interest on CRR balances and claims on RBI on account of Government transactions (net of claims of Government/RBI on banks on account of such transactions) | 0 |
| | All other assets # | 100 |

#:

- (i) The exposures to CCPs on account of derivatives trading and securities financing transactions (e.g. CBLOs, Repos) outstanding against them, will be assigned zero exposure value for counterparty credit risk, as it is presumed that the CCPs' exposures to their counterparties are fully collateralised on a daily basis, thereby providing protection for the CCP's credit risk exposures;
- (ii) The deposits / collaterals kept by banks with the CCPs will attract risk weights appropriate to the nature of the CCP. In the case of CCIL, the risk weight will be 20 per cent and for other CCPs, it will be according to the ratings assigned to these entities as per the New Capital Adequacy Framework.

&: As regards claims on AFCs, there is no change in the risk weights, which would continue to be governed by the credit rating of the AFC, except the claims that attract a risk weight of 150 per cent under the New Capital Adequacy Framework, which shall be reduced to a level of 100 per cent.

*: It is possible for an exposure to get classified simultaneously into more than one category, as different classifications are driven by different considerations. In such

cases, the exposure would be reckoned for regulatory / prudential exposure limit, if any, fixed by RBI or by the bank itself, for all the categories to which the exposure is assigned. For the purpose of capital adequacy, the largest of the risk weights applicable among all the categories would be applicable for the exposure.

Securitisation exposures not meeting the requirements prescribed in the securitisation guidelines dated May 7, 2012 will be risk weighted at the rates prescribed therein.

I.B. Off-Balance Sheet items

The credit risk exposure attached to off-Balance Sheet items has to be first calculated by multiplying the face value of each of the off-Balance Sheet items by 'credit conversion factor' as indicated in the table below. This will then have to be again multiplied by the weights attributable to the relevant counter-party as specified above.

| Sr. No. | Instruments | Credit Conversion Factor |
|----------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. | Direct credit substitutes e.g. general guarantees of indebtedness (including standby L/Cs serving as financial guarantees for loans and securities) and acceptances (including endorsements with the character of acceptance). | 100 |
| 2. | Certain transaction-related contingent items (e.g. performance bonds, bid bonds, warranties and standby L/Cs related to particular transactions). | 50 |
| 3. | Short-term self-liquidating trade-related contingencies (such as documentary credits collateralized by the underlying shipments). | 20 |
| 4. | Sale and repurchase agreement and asset sales with recourse, where the credit risk remains with the bank. | 100 |
| 5. | Forward asset purchases, forward deposits and partly paid shares and securities, which represent commitments with certain draw down. | 100 |
| 6. | Note issuance facilities and revolving underwriting facilities. | 50 |
| 7. | Other commitments (e.g., formal standby facilities and credit lines) with an original maturity of over one year. | 50 |

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 8. | Similar commitments with an original maturity upto one year, or which can be unconditionally cancelled at any time. | 0 |
| 9. | Aggregate outstanding foreign exchange contracts of original maturity - | |
| | • less than one year | 2 |
| | • for each additional year or part thereof | 3 |
| 10. | Take-out Finance in the books of taking-over institution | |
| | (i) Unconditional take-out finance | 100 |
| | (ii) Conditional take-out finance | 50 |
| | Note: As the counter-party exposure will determine the risk weight, it will be 100 percent in respect of all borrowers or zero percent if covered by Government guarantee. | |
| 11 | Non-Funded exposures to commercial real estate | 150 |
| 12 | Non-funded capital market exposures, including those exempted from CME norms | 125 |
| 13 | Commitment to provide liquidity facility for securitization of standard asset transactions | 100 |
| 14 | Second loss credit enhancement for securitization of standard asset transactions provided by third party | 100 |
| 15 | Non-funded exposure to NBFC-ND-SI | 100 |

NOTE: In regard to off-balance sheet items, the following transactions with non-bank counterparties will be treated as claims on banks and carry a risk-weight of 20%.

- Guarantees issued by banks against the counter guarantees of other banks.
- Rediscounting of documentary bills accepted by banks. Bills discounted by banks which have been accepted by another bank will be treated as a funded claim on a bank.

In all the above cases banks should be fully satisfied that the risk exposure is in fact on the other bank.

I.C. Risk Weights for Open Positions

| Sr. No. | Item | Risk weight (%) |
|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Foreign exchange open position. | 100 |

| | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 2. | Open position in gold Note: The risk weighted position both in respect of foreign exchange and gold open position limits should be added to the other risk weighted assets for calculation of CRAR | 100 |
|----|--|-----|

I. D. Risk Weights for Forward Rate Agreement (FRA) /Interest Rate Swap (IRS)

For reckoning the minimum capital ratio, the computation of risk weighted assets on account of FRAs / IRS should be done as per the two steps procedure set out below:

Step 1

The notional principal amount of each instrument is to be multiplied by the conversion factor given below:

| Original Maturity | Conversion Factor |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Less than one year | 0.5 per cent |
| One year and less than two years | 1.0 per cent |
| For each additional year | 1.0 per cent |

Step 2

The adjusted value thus obtained shall be multiplied by the risk weightage allotted to the relevant counter-party as specified below:

| Counter party | Risk weight |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Banks | 20 per cent |
| Central & State Govt. | 0 per cent |
| All others | 100 per cent |

II. Overseas operations (applicable only to Indian banks having branches abroad)

A. Funded Risk Assets

| Sr. No. | Item of asset or liability | Risk Weight % |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| i) | Cash | 0 |
| ii) | Balances with Monetary Authority | 0 |
| iii) | Investments in Government securities | 0 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| iv) | Balances in current account with other banks | 20 |
| v) | All other claims on banks including but not limited to funds loaned in money markets, deposit placements, investments in CDs/FRN, etc. | 20 |
| vi) | Investment in non-bank sectors | 100 |
| vii) | Loans and advances, bills purchased and discounted and other credit facilities | |
| | a) Claims guaranteed by Government of India. | 0 |
| | b) Claims guaranteed by State Governments | 0 |
| | c) Claims on public sector undertakings of Government of India. | 100 |
| | d) Claims on public sector undertakings of State Governments | 100 |
| | e) Others | 100 |
| viii) | All other banking and infrastructural assets | 100 |

B. Non-funded Risk Assets

| Sr. No. | Instruments | Credit Conversion Factor (%) |
|---------|--|------------------------------|
| i) | Direct credit substitutes, e.g. general guarantees of indebtedness (including standby letters of credit serving as financial guarantees for loans and securities) and acceptances (including endorsements with the character of acceptances) | 100 |
| ii) | Certain transaction-related contingent items (e.g. performance bonds, bid bonds, warranties and standby letters of credit related to particular transactions) | 50 |
| iii) | Short-term self-liquidating trade related contingencies- such as documentary credits collateralised by the underlying shipments | 20 |
| iv) | Sale and repurchase agreement and asset sales with recourse, where the credit risk remains with the bank. | 100 |
| v) | Forward asset purchases, forward deposits and partly paid shares and securities, which represent commitments with certain draw down | 100 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| vi) | Note issuance facilities and revolving underwriting facilities | 50 |
| vii) | Other commitments (e.g. formal standby facilities and credit lines) with an original maturity of over one year. | 50 |
| viii) | Similar commitments with an original maturity up to one year, or which can be unconditionally cancelled at any time. | 0 |

ANNEX 10.1

**MSE Advances Guaranteed by Credit Guarantee Fund Trust for Micro and Small Enterprises (CGTMSE) – Risk weights and Provisioning norms
(paragraph I (A)(III)(9) of Annex 10)**

Risk-Weight

Example I

CGTMSE Cover: 75% of the amount outstanding or 75% of the unsecured amount or ₹18.75 lakh, whichever is less

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Realisable value of Security | : ₹ 1 .50 lakh |
| a) Balance outstanding | : ₹ 10.00 lakh |
| b) Realisable value of security | : ₹ 1.50 lakh |
| c) Unsecured amount (a) - (b) | : ₹ 8.50 lakh |
| d) Guaranteed portion (75% of (c)) | : ₹ 6.38 lakh |
| e) Uncovered portion (8.50 lakh – 6.38 lakh) | : ₹ 2.12 lakh |

Risk-weight on (b) and (e) – Linked to the counter party

Risk-weight on (d) – Zero

Example II

CGTMSE cover: 75% of the amount outstanding or 75% of the unsecured amount or ₹18.75 lakh whichever is less

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Realisable value of Security | : ₹ 10.00 lakh. |
| a) Balance outstanding | : ₹ 40.00 lakh |
| b) Realisable value of security | : ₹ 10.00 lakh |
| c) Unsecured amount (a) - (b) | : ₹ 30.00 lakh |
| d) Guaranteed portion (max.) | : ₹ 18.75 lakh |
| e) Uncovered portion (₹30 lakh-18.75 lakh) | : ₹ 11.25 lakh |

Risk-weight (b) and (e) - Linked to the counter party

Risk-weight on (d) - Zero

ANNEX 10.2**Terms and conditions for the purpose of liberal Risk Weight for Capital Adequacy for investments in Mortgage Backed Securities (MBS) of residential assets of Housing Finance Companies (HFC)**

(Vide item (I)(A)(II)(12) of Annex 10)

1(a) The right, title and interest of a HFC in securitized housing loans and receivables there under should irrevocably be assigned in favour of a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) / Trust.

1(b) Mortgaged securities underlying the securitized housing loans should be held exclusively on behalf of and for the benefit of the investors by the SPV/Trust.

1(c) The SPV or Trust should be entitled to the receivables under the securitised loans with an arrangement for distribution of the same to the investors as per the terms of issue of MBS. Such an arrangement may provide for appointment of the originating HFC as the servicing and paying agent. However, the originating HFC participating in a securitisation transaction as a seller, manager, servicer or provider of credit enhancement or liquidity facilities:

- i. shall not own any share capital in the SPV or be the beneficiary of the trust used as a vehicle for the purchase and securitization of assets. Share capital for this purpose shall include all classes of common and preferred share capital;
- ii. shall not name the SPV in such manner as to imply any connection with the bank;
- iii. shall not have any directors, officers or employees on the board of the SPV unless the board is made up of at least three members and where there is a majority of independent directors. In addition, the official(s) representing the bank will not have veto powers;
- iv. shall not directly or indirectly control the SPV; or
- v. shall not support any losses arising from the securitization transaction or by investors involved in it or bear any of the recurring expenses of the transaction.

1(d) The loans to be securitized should be loans advanced to individuals for acquiring/constructing residential houses which should have been mortgaged to the HFC by way of exclusive first charge.

1(e) The loans to be securitized should be accorded an investment grade credit rating by any of the credit rating agencies at the time of assignment to the SPV.

1(f) The investors should be entitled to call upon the issuer - SPV - to take steps for recovery in the event of default and distribute the net proceeds to the investors as per the terms of issue of MBS.

1(g) The SPV undertaking the issue of MBS should not be engaged in any business other than the business of issue and administration of MBS of individual housing loans.

1(h) The SPV or Trustees appointed to manage the issue of MBS should have to be governed by the provisions of Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

2. If the issue of MBS is in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in paragraph 1 above and includes irrevocable transfer of risk and reward of the housing loan assets to the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV)/Trust, investment in such MBS by any bank would not be reckoned as an exposure on the HFC originating the securitized housing loan. However, it would be treated as an exposure on the underlying assets of the SPV / Trust.

ANNEX 10.3

Conditions for availing concessional Risk Weight on investment in securitised paper pertaining to an infrastructure facility (*Vide item (I)(A)(II)(14) of Annex 10*)

1. The infrastructure facility should satisfy the conditions stipulated in our circular DBOD. No. BP. BC. 92/21.04.048/ 2002- 2003 dated June 16, 2004.
2. The infrastructure facility should be generating income/ cash flows which would ensure servicing/ repayment of the securitised paper.
3. The securitised paper should be rated at least 'AAA' by the rating agencies and the rating should be current and valid. The rating relied upon will be deemed to be current and valid if :

The rating is not more than one month old on the date of opening of the issue, and the rating rationale from the rating agency is not more than one year old on the date of opening of the issue, and the rating letter and the rating rationale is a part of the offer document.

In the case of secondary market acquisition, the 'AAA' rating of the issue should be in force and confirmed from the monthly bulletin published by the respective rating agency.

The securitised paper should be a performing asset on the books of the investing/ lending institution.

ANNEX 10.4**Conditions for availing concessional risk weight for Advances covered by Insurance cover under Business Credit Shield the product of New India Assurance Company Ltd. *(Vide item (I)(A)(III)(10) of Annex 10)***

New India Assurance Company Limited (NIA) should comply with the provisions of the Insurance Act, 1938, the Regulations made thereunder - especially those relating to Reserves for unexpired risks and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (Assets, Liabilities and Solvency Margin of Insurers) Regulations, 2000 and any other conditions/regulations that may be prescribed by IRDA in future, if their insurance product - Business Credit Shield (BCS) - is to qualify for the above treatment.

2. To be eligible for the above regulatory treatment in respect of export credit covered by BCS policy of **NIA, banks should ensure that:**

The BCS policy is assigned in its favour, and

NIA abides by the provisions of the Insurance Act, 1938 and the regulations made there under, especially those relating to Reserves for unexpired risks and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (Assets, Liabilities and Solvency Margin of Insurers) Regulations, 2000, and any other conditions/regulations that may be prescribed by IRDA in future.

3. Banks should maintain separate account(s) for the advances to exporters, which are covered by the insurance under the "Business Credit Shield" to enable easy administration/verification of risk weights/provisions.

ANNEX 11

Worked out examples for computing Capital Charge for Credit and Market Risks

Example I- *Case where the trading book does not contain equities and interest rate related derivative instruments*

1. Assumptions:

1.1. A bank may have the following position:

| Sl. No. | Details | Amount (in ₹ Crore) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Cash & Balances with RBI | 200.00 |
| 2 | Bank balances | 200.00 |
| 3. | Investments | 2000.00 |
| | 3.1 Held for Trading (Market Value) | 500.00 |
| | 3.2 Available for Sale (Market Value) | 1000.00 |
| | 3.3 Held to Maturity | 500.00 |
| 4 | Advances (net) | 2000.00 |
| 5 | Other Assets | 300.00 |
| 6 | Total Assets | 4700.00 |

1.2. In terms of counter party, the investments are assumed to be as under:

| | |
|------------|----------------|
| Government | - ₹ 1000 crore |
| Banks | - ₹ 500 crore |
| Others | - ₹ 500 crore |

1.3. For simplicity sake the details of investments are assumed to be as under:

i) Government Securities

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ in crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2015 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2010 | 100 | 11.50 | AFS |
| 01/03/1 999 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2009 | 100 | 11.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/2000 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2005 | 100 | 10.50 | HFT |
| 01/03/2001 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 10.00 | HTM |
| 01/03/2002 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2012 | 100 | 8.00 | HTM |
| 01/03/2003 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2023 | 100 | 6.50 | HTM |
| Total | | | 1000 | | |

ii) Bank Bonds

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ in crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2007 | 100 | 11.50 | HFT |
| Total | | | 500 | | |

iii) Other securities

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ in crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | HFT |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | HFT |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | HFT |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | HTM |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2017 | 100 | 11.50 | HTM |
| Total | | | 500 | | |

iv) Overall position

| | Break-up of total investments (₹ in crore) | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Government Securities | Bank bonds | Other securities | Total |
| HFT | 100 | 100 | 300 | 500 |
| AFS | 600 | 400 | 0 | 1000 |
| Trading Book | 700 | 500 | 300 | 1500 |
| HTM | 300 | 0 | 200 | 500 |
| Total | 1000 | 500 | 500 | 2000 |

2. Computation of risk weighted assets

2.1. Risk Weighted Assets for Credit Risk

As per the guidelines, Held for Trading and Available for Sale securities would qualify to be categorized as Trading Book. Thus, trading book in the instant case would be ₹ 1500 crore as indicated above. While computing the credit risk, the securities held under trading book would be excluded and hence the risk-weighted assets for credit risks would be as under:

(₹ in crore)

| S. No. | Details of Assets | Market Value* | Risk Weight (%) | Risk weighted Assets |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Cash & balances with RBI | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Bank balances | 200 | 20 | 40 |
| 3 | Investments: | | | |
| | Government | 300 | 0 | 0 |
| | Banks | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | Others | 200 | 100 | 200 |
| 4 | Advances (net) | 2000 | 100 | 2000 |
| 5 | Other Assets | 300 | 100 | 300 |
| 6 | Total Assets | 3200 | | 2540 |

*Assumed as Market Value for illustration

2.2. Risk Weighted Assets for Market Risk (Trading Book)

(Please refer to table in para 1.3(iv))

a. Specific Risk

(i) Government securities: ₹ 700 crore – Nil

(ii) Bank bonds:

(Amount in ₹ crore)

| Details | Capital charge | Amount | Capital charge |
|---|----------------|------------|----------------|
| For residual term to final maturity 6 months or less | 0.30% | 200 | 0.60 |
| For residual term to final maturity between 6 and 24 months | 1.125% | 100 | 1.125 |
| For residual term to final maturity exceeding 24 months | 1.80% | 200 | 3.60 |
| Total | | 500 | 5.325 |

(iii) Other securities: ₹ 300 crore @ 9% = ₹ 27 crore Total charge for specific risk (i)+(ii)+(iii)

= ₹ 0 crore + ₹ 5.325 crore + ₹ 27 crore = ₹ 32.325 crore

Therefore, capital charge for specific risk in trading book is ₹ 32.33 crore.

b. General Market Risk

Modified duration is used to arrive at the price sensitivity of an interest rate related instrument. For all the securities listed below, date of reporting is taken as 31/3/2003.

| (Amount in ₹ crore) | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Counter Party | Maturity Date | Amount (market value) | Coupon (%) | Capital Charge for general market risk |
| Govt. | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Govt. | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Govt. | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2015 | 100 | 12.50 | 3.63 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2010 | 100 | 11.50 | 2.79 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2009 | 100 | 11.00 | 2.75 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2005 | 100 | 10.50 | 1.35 |
| Banks | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Banks | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Banks | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| Banks | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | 1.77 |
| Banks | 01/03/2007 | 100 | 11.50 | 2.29 |
| Others | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Others | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Others | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| | Total | 1500 | | 17.82 |

c. Total Charge for Market Risk

Adding the capital charges for specific risk as well as general market risk would give the total capital charge for the trading book of interest rate related instruments. Therefore, capital charge for Market Risks = ₹ 32.33 crore + ₹ 17.82 crore, i.e., ₹ 50.15 crore.

d. To facilitate computation of CRAR for the whole book, this capital charge needs to be converted into equivalent risk weighted assets. In India, the minimum CRAR is 9%.

Hence, the capital charge could be converted to risk weighted assets by multiplying the capital charge by $(100 \div 9)$, Thus risk weighted assets for market risk is $50.15 \times (100 \div 9) = ₹ 557.23$ crore.

2.3 Computing the Capital Ratio

(Amount in crores of Rupees)

| | | |
|---|--|----------------|
| 1 | Total Capital | 400 |
| 2 | Risk weighted assets for Credit Risk | 2540.00 |
| 3 | Risk weighted assets for Market Risk | 557.23 |
| 4 | Total Risk weighted assets (2+3) | 3097.23 |
| 5 | CRAR $[(1 \div 4) \times 100]$ | 12.91 % |

Example 2- indicating computation of capital charge for credit and market risks – with equities and interest rate related derivative instruments. Foreign exchange and gold open positions also have been assumed.

Assumptions

A bank may have the following position:

| Sr. No. | Details | ₹ in Crore |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Cash & Balances with RBI | 200.00 |
| 2 | Bank balances | 200.00 |
| 3 | Investments | |
| | 3.1 Interest Rate related Securities | |
| | Held for Trading | 500.00 |
| | Available for Sale | 1000.00 |
| | Held to Maturity | 500.00 |
| | 3.2 Equities | 300.00 |
| 4 | Advances (net) | 2000.00 |
| 5 | Other Assets | 300.00 |
| 6 | Total Assets | 5000.00 |

Foreign exchange open position limit is assumed as ₹ 60 crore and Gold open position is assumed at ₹ 40 crore.

Let us also assume that the bank is having the following positions in interest rate related

derivatives:

- (i) Interest Rate Swaps (IRS), ₹ 100 crore – bank received floating rate interest and pays fixed, next interest fixing after 6 months, residual life of swap 8 years, and
- (ii) Long position in interest rate future (IRF), ₹ 50 crore, delivery after 6 months, life of underlying government security 3.5 years.

In terms of counter party the investments are assumed to be as under:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Interest rate related securities | |
| Government | ₹ 1000 crore |
| Banks | ₹ 500 crore |
| Corporate Bonds | ₹ 500 crore |
| b) Equities | |
| Others | ₹ 300 crore |

For interest rate swaps and interest rate futures the counterparties are assumed to be corporates.

For simplicity sake let us assume the details of investments in interest rate related securities as under:

i) Government Securities

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2015 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2010 | 100 | 11.50 | AFS |
| 01/03/1999 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2009 | 100 | 11.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/2000 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2005 | 100 | 10.50 | HFT |
| 01/03/2001 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 10.00 | HTM |
| 01/03/2002 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2012 | 100 | 8.00 | HTM |
| 01/03/2003 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2023 | 100 | 6.50 | HTM |
| Total | | | 1000 | | |

ii) Bank Bonds

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | AFS |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | AFS |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2007 | 100 | 11.50 | HFT |
| Total | | | 500 | | |

iii) Other securities

| Date of Issue | Date of reporting | Maturity Date | Amount ₹ crore | Coupon (%) | Type |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------|
| 01/03/1992 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | HFT |
| 01/05/1993 | 31/03/2003 | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | HFT |
| 01/03/1994 | 31/03/2003 | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | HFT |
| 01/03/1995 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | HTM |
| 01/03/1998 | 31/03/2003 | 01/03/2017 | 100 | 11.50 | HTM |
| Total | | | 500 | | |

(c) Overall Position

| | Break-up of total investments | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Interest rate related instruments | | | | Equity | |
| | Govt. Securities | Bank bonds | Other securities | Total | | Grand Total |
| HFT | 100 | 100 | 300 | 500 | 300 | 800 |
| AFS | 600 | 400 | 0 | 1000 | 0 | 1000 |
| Trading Book | 700 | 500 | 300 | 1500 | 300 | 1800 |
| HTM | 300 | 0 | 200 | 500 | 0 | 500 |
| Grand Total | 1000 | 500 | 500 | 2000 | 300 | 2300 |

2. Computation of Risk Weighted Assets

2.1. Risk Weighted Assets for Credit Risk

As per the guidelines, held for trading and available for sale securities would qualify to be categorized as Trading Book. Thus trading book in respect of interest rate related investments in the instant case would be ₹ 1500 crore. In addition, equities position of ₹ 300 crore would be in the trading book, as indicated above. The derivative products held by banks are to be considered as part of trading book. Open position on foreign exchange and gold also would be considered for market risk. While computing the capital charge for credit risk, the securities held under trading book would be excluded and hence the credit risk based risk-weights would be as under:

(Amount in ₹ Crore)

| Details of Assets | Book Value | Risk Weight | Risk weighted Assets |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------|
| Cash& RBI | 200 | 0% | 0 |
| Bank balances | 200 | 20% | 40 |
| Investments in (HTM category) | | | |
| Government | 300 | 0% | 0 |
| Banks | 0 | 20% | 0 |
| Corporate Bonds | 200 | 100% | 200 |
| Advances (net) | 2000 | 100% | 2000 |
| Other Assets | 300 | 100% | 300 |
| Total | 3200 | | 2540 |
| Credit Risk for OTC Derivatives: | | | |
| IRS | 100 (Credit conversion factor - 1% + 1% per year) | 100% | 8.00 |
| IRF | 50 (Credit conversion factor for maturities less than one year – 0.5%) | 100% | 0.25 |
| Total | 3350 | | 2548.25 |

2.2 Risk Weighted Assets for Market Risk (Trading Book)

(Please refer to table in para 1.7(iv))

a. Specific Risk

1. Investments in interest rate related instruments:

(i) Government securities – ₹ 700 crore – Nil

(ii) Bank bonds

(Amount in ₹ Crore)

| Details | Capital charge | Amount | Capital Charge |
|---|----------------|------------|----------------|
| For residual term to final maturity 6 months or less | 0.30% | 200 | 0.600 |
| For residual term to final maturity between 6 and 24 months | 1.125% | 100 | 1.125 |
| For residual term to final maturity exceeding 24 months | 1.80% | 200 | 3.600 |
| Total | | 500 | 5.325 |

(iii) Others ₹ 300 crore @ 9% = ₹ 27 crore

Total: (i) + (ii) + (iii)

= ₹ 0 crore + ₹ 5.325 crore + ₹ 27 crore = ₹ 32.325 crore

2. Equities – capital charge of 9% = ₹ 27 crore

Total specific charge (1+2)

Therefore, capital charge for specific risk in the trading book is ₹ 59.33 crore (₹ 32.33 crore + ₹ 27 crore).

b. General Market Risk

(1). Investments in interest rate related instruments:

Modified duration is used to arrive at the price sensitivity of an interest rate related instrument. For all the securities listed below, date of reporting is taken as 31/3/2003.

(Amount in ₹ Crore)

| Counter Party | Maturity Date | Amount market value | Coupon (%) | Capital charge for general market risk |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| Govt. | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Govt. | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Govt. | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2015 | 100 | 12.50 | 3.63 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2010 | 100 | 11.50 | 2.79 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2009 | 100 | 11.00 | 2.75 |
| Govt. | 01/03/2005 | 100 | 10.50 | 1.35 |
| Banks | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Banks | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Banks | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| Banks | 01/03/2006 | 100 | 12.50 | 1.77 |
| Banks | 01/03/2007 | 100 | 11.50 | 2.29 |
| Others | 01/03/2004 | 100 | 12.50 | 0.84 |
| Others | 01/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.08 |
| Others | 31/05/2003 | 100 | 12.00 | 0.16 |
| | Total | 1500 | | 17.82 |

(2) Positions in interest rate related derivatives

Interest rate swap

| Counter Party | Maturity Date | Notional Amount (i.e., market) | Modified duration or price sensitivity | Assumed change in yield (ACI) | Capital charge* |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| GOI | 30/09/2003 | 100 | 0.47 | 1.00 | 0.47 |
| GOI | 31/03/2011 | 100 | 5.14 | 0.60 | (-) 3.08 |
| | | | | | (-) 2.61 |

Interest rate future

| Counter Party | Maturity Date | Notional Amount (i.e., market value) | Modified duration or price sensitivity | Assumed change in yield | Capital charge |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|
| GOI | 30/09/2003 | 50 | 0.45 | 1.00 | (-) 0.225 |
| GOI | 31/03/2007 | 50 | 2.84 | 0.75 | 1.070 |
| | | | | | 0.840 |

(3) Disallowances

The price sensitivities calculated as above have been slotted into a duration-based ladder with fifteen time-bands as shown in table at the end of the Annexure. Long and short positions within a time band have been subjected to vertical disallowance of 5%. In the instant case, vertical disallowance is applicable under 3-6 month time band and 7.3-9.3 year time band. Then, net positions in each time band have been computed for horizontal offsetting subject to the disallowances mentioned in the table. In the instant case, horizontal disallowance is applicable only in respect of Zone 3. Horizontal disallowances in respect of adjacent zones are not applicable in the instant case.

3.1 Calculation of Vertical Disallowance

While calculating capital charge for general market risk on interest rate related instruments, banks should recognize the basis risk (different types of instruments whose price responds differently for movement in general rates) and gap risk (different maturities within timebands). This is addressed by a small capital charge (5%) on matched (off-setting) positions in each time band ("Vertical Disallowance")

An off-setting position, for vertical disallowance, will be the either the sum of long positions and or the short positions within a time band, whichever is lower. In the table at the end of the annex, except for the time band 3-6 months in Zone 1 and the time band of 7.3-9.3 years, where there are off-setting positions of (-) 0.22 and 2.79, there is no off-setting position in any other time band. The sum of long positions in the 3-6 months time band is + 0.47 and the sum of short positions in this time band is (-) 0.22. This off-setting position of 0.22 is subjected to a capital charge of 5% i.e. 0.01. The sum of long positions in the 7.3-9.3 years time band is + 2.79 and the sum of short positions in this time band is (-) 3.08. This off-setting position of 2.79 is subjected to a capital charge of 5% i.e. 0.1395. It may be mentioned here that if a bank does not have both long and short positions in the same time band, there is no need for any vertical disallowance. Banks in India are not allowed to take any short position in their books, except in

derivatives. Therefore, banks in India will generally not be subject to vertical disallowance unless they have a short position in derivatives.

3.2. Calculation of Horizontal Disallowance

While calculating capital charge for general market risk on interest rate related instruments, banks must subject their positions to a second round of off-setting across time bands with a view to give recognition to the fact that interest rate movements are not perfectly correlated across maturity bands (yield curve risk and spread risk) i.e. matched long and short positions in different time bands may not perfectly off-set. This is achieved by a "Horizontal Disallowance".

An off-setting position, for horizontal disallowance, will be the either the sum of long positions and or the short positions within a Zone, whichever is lower. In the above example, except in Zone 3 (7.3 to 9.3 years) where there is an off-setting (matched) position of (-) 0.29, there is no off-setting position in any other Zone. The sum of long positions in this Zone is 9.74 and the sum of short positions in this Zone is (-) 0.29. This off-setting position of 0.29 is subject to horizontal disallowance as under:

| | |
|---|--------|
| Within the same Zone (Zone 3) 30% of 0.29 | = 0.09 |
| Between adjacent Zones (Zone 2 & 3) | = Nil |
| Between Zones 1 and Zone 3 | = Nil |

It may be mentioned here that if a bank does not have both long and short positions in different time zones, there is no need for any horizontal disallowance. Banks in India are not allowed to take any short position in their books except in derivatives. Therefore, banks in India will generally not be subject to horizontal disallowance unless they have short positions in derivatives.

Total capital charge for interest rate related instruments is shown below:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| For overall net position | 16.06 |
| For vertical disallowance | 0.15 |
| For horizontal disallowance in Zone 3 | 0.09 |
| For horizontal disallowance in adjacent zones | nil |
| For horizontal disallowance between Zone 1 & 3 | Nil |
| Total capital charge for interest rate related instruments | 16.30 |

(4) The total capital charge in this example for general market risk for interest rate related instruments is computed as under:

| Sl. No. | Capital charge | Amount (₹) |
|----------|--|---------------------|
| 1 | For the vertical disallowance (under 3-6 month time band) | 1, 12,500 |
| 2 | For the vertical disallowance (under 7.3-9.3 year time band) | 13,95,000 |
| 3 | For the horizontal disallowance (under Zone 3) | 9,00,000 |
| 4 | For the horizontal disallowances between adjacent zones | 0 |
| 5 | For the overall net open position (17.82 – 2.61 + 0.84) | 16,06,00,000 |
| 6 | Total capital charge for general market risk on interest rate related instruments (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5) | 16,30,07,500 |

- (5) Equities: Capital charge for General Market Risk for equities is 9%.
Thus, general market risk capital charge on equities would work out to ₹ 27 crore.
- (6) Forex / Gold Open Position Capital charge on forex/gold position would be computed at 9%.
Thus the same works out to ₹ 9 crore
- (7) Capital charge for market risks in this example is computed as under:

(Amount in ₹ crore)

| Details | Capital charge for Specific Risk | Capital charge for General Market Risk | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------|
| Interest instruments Rate Related | 32.33 | 16.30 | 48.63 |
| Equities | 27.00 | 27.00 | 54.00 |
| Forex/Gold | - | 9.00 | 9.00 |
| Total | 59.33 | 52.30 | 111.63 |

2.3 Computing Capital Ratio

To facilitate computation of CRAR for the whole book, this capital charge for market risks in the Trading Book needs to be converted into equivalent risk weighted assets. As in India, a CRAR of 9% is required, the capital charge could be converted to risk weighted assets by multiplying the capital charge by (100 ÷ 9), i.e. ₹ 111.63*(100 ÷ 9) = ₹ 1240.33 crore. Therefore, risk weighted assets for market risk is: ₹ 1240.33 crore.

(Amount in ₹ crore)

| | | |
|----------|---|----------------|
| 1 | Total Capital | 400.00 |
| 2 | Risk weighted assets for Credit Risk | 2548.25 |
| 3 | Risk weighted assets for Market Risk | 1240.33 |
| 4 | Total Risk weighted assets (2+3) | 3788.58 |
| 5 | CRAR [(1+4)*100] | 10.56 % |

The reporting format for the purpose of monitoring the capital ratio is given in Annex 12.

Example for computing the Capital Charge including the vertical and horizontal disallowances on interest rate related instruments

(Para No 2.2. (b) (3) of Example 2 above)

| Time-band | Zone 1 | | | | Zone 2 | | | Zone 3 | | | | | | | Capital Charge | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|----------------|----------|
| | 0-1 month | 1-3 month | 3-6 month | 6m - 1y | 1-1.9y | 1.9-2.8y | 2.8-3.6y | 3.6-4.3y | 4.3-5.7y | 5.7-7.3y | 7.3-9.3y | 9.3-10.6y | 10.6-12y | 12-20y | | Over 20y |
| Position | | 0.72 | | 2.51 | | 1.35 | 1.77 | 2.29 | | 2.75 | 2.79 | | 3.63 | | | 17.82 |
| Derivatives (long) | | | 0.47 | | | | | 1.07 | | | | | | | | 1.54 |
| Derivatives (short) | | | (-)0.22 | | | | | | | | (-)3.08 | | | | | (-)3.30 |
| Net Position | | 0.72 | 0.25 | 2.51 | | 1.35 | 1.77 | 3.36 | | 2.75 | (-)0.29 | | 3.63 | | | 16.06 |
| Vertical Disallowance (5%) | | | 0.01** | | | | | | | | 0.14@ | | | | | 0.15 |
| Horizontal Disallowance 1 (under Zone) | | | | | | | | | | | 0.09# | | | | | 0.09 |
| Horizontal Disallowance 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Horizontal Disallowance 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

** $0.22 \times 5\% = 0.01$

@ $2.79 \times 5\% = 0.14$

$0.29 \times 30\% = 0.09$

ANNEX 12

Reporting Formats

I. Reporting format for the purpose of monitoring the Capital Ratio is given hereunder:

Name of bank:

Position as on:

A. Capital Base

(Amount in Crores of Rupees)

| Sl. No. | Details | Amount |
|---------|--------------------------|--------|
| A1. | Tier I Capital | |
| A2. | Tier II Capital | |
| A3. | Total Regulatory Capital | |

B. Risk Weighted Assets

| | | | | |
|------------|--|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| B1. | Risk Weighted Assets on Banking Book | | | |
| | a) On-balance sheet assets | | | |
| | b) Contingent Credits | | | |
| | c) Forex contracts | | | |
| | d) Other off-balance sheet items | | | |
| | Total | | | |
| B2. | Risk Weighted Assets on Trading Book | AFS | Other trading book exposures | Total |
| | a) Capital charge on account of Specific Risk | | | |
| | i) On interest rate related instruments | | | |
| | ii) On Equities | | | |
| | Sub-total | | | |
| | b) Capital charge on account of general market risk | | | |
| | i) On interest rate related instruments | | | |
| | ii) On Equities | | | |
| | iii) On Foreign Exchange and gold open positions | | | |
| | Sub-total | | | |
| | Total Capital Charge on Trading Book | | | |
| | Total Risk weighted Assets on Trading Book (total capital charge on trading book * (100/9)) | | | |
| B3. | Total Risk Weighted Assets (B1 + B2) | | | |

C. Capital Ratio

| | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| C1 | Capital to Risk-weighted Assets (CRAR) (A3/B3*100) | |
|-----------|---|--|

D. Memo items

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| D1 | Investment Fluctuation Reserve | |
| D2 | Book value of securities held in HFT category | |
| D3 | Book value of securities held in AFS category | |
| D4 | Net unrealised gains in HFT category | |
| D5 | Net unrealised gains in AFS category | |

Banks should furnish data in the above format as on the last day of each calendar quarter to the Chief General Manager-in-Charge, Department of Banking Supervision, Central Office, World Trade Centre I, 3rd floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400 005 both in hard copy and soft copy. Soft copy in excel format may also be forwarded through [e-mail](#).

I. Format for the Parallel Run Report furnishing the Progress in Implementation of New Capital Adequacy Framework for the Quarter ended.....

Name of the Bank: _____

Pillar I aspects

1.1 Capital Adequacy

| (₹ in crore) | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| 1.1.1 | Risk Weighted Assets | Basel-I | | Basel-II | |
| | | Book Value | Risk Weighted Value | Book Value | Risk Weighted Value |
| | RWA for Credit Risk | | | | |
| | a) On Balance Sheet Items | | | | |
| | i. Loan and Investment portfolio | | | | |
| | * Standard | | | | |
| | * NPA / NPI | | | | |
| | ii. Other Assets | | | | |
| | b) Off Balance Sheet Items | | | | |
| | i. Market Related | | | | |
| | ii. Non-Market Related | | | | |
| 1.1.2 | RWA for Market Risk | | | | |
| 1.1.3 | RWA for Operational Risk | | | | |
| 1.1.4 | Total Capital | | | | |
| | Tier I capital | | | | |
| | Tier II capital | | | | |
| 1.1.5 | CRAR | | | | |

12 Rating Profile of Exposures

| Sl. No. | Type of Exposure | Amount | Percentage of Loan Amount to Total Credit |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---|
| 1.2.1 | Corporate Loans (Other than SME) | | |
| | a) Rated | | |
| | b) Unrated | | |
| 1.2.2 | Corporate Loans (SME) | | |
| | a) Rated | | |
| | b) Unrated | | |
| 1.2.3 | Securitised Exposures | | N. A. |
| | a) Rated | | |
| | b) Unrated | | |
| Note : 'Rated' includes, ratings derived from Issuer rating / rating of other rated instruments of the same issuer. | | | |

1.3 Use of CRM Techniques - Extent of CRMs used

| Sl. No. | Eligible Financial Collateral (FC) | Total amount of (FC) used | % to total amount | Net amount of FC after haircut | Percentage of FC to total RWA | Capital relief available on account of FC (9% of Net amount of FC after haircut) |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1.3.1 | Cash | | | | | |
| 1.3.2 | Gold | | | | | |
| 1.3.3 | Govt. Securities | | | | | |
| 1.3.4 | KVP / NSC | | | | | |
| 1.3.5 | LIC Policy | | | | | |
| 1.3.6 | Debt Securities | | | | | |
| | (i) Rated | | | | | |
| | (ii) Unrated | | | | | |
| 1.3.7 | Units of Mutual Fund | | | | | |

1.4 Collateral Risk Management

| Frequency of Valuation of FC | Govt. securities | Debt Securities | Gold | LIC NSC KVP | Units /of MF |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | | |

1.4.1 Any credit concentration recognized on account of the nature of collaterals?

1.4.2 Has the bank made an assessment of the market liquidity risk involved in the financial collateral? How is that taken into account in capital adequacy assessment under Pillar II?

1.4.3 What is the bank's assessment of operational risk especially the legal risk arising out of collaterals (such as on account of inadequate / incomplete documentation).

1.4.4 Does the bank hold as FC securities issued by any of its borrowing companies or their associates? If yes, the value of such FC may be indicated in the following table.

| Latest Market value | Value after applicable haircut |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| | |

1.4.5 Are any collateral securities for the bank's exposures held by the custodian? Does the bank ensure that the custodian segregates these securities from its own securities?

1.4.6 The amount of exposures subject to on-balance sheet netting.

1.4.7 Details of eligible non-financial collateral

| Basel-I | Basel-II |
|---|--|
| The amount of NPA secured by Physical collateral (in cases where the amount of provisions held is at least 15% of the outstanding). | The amount of secured portion of NPAs after taking into account only the physical collateral which is eligible as per para 5.12.4 of our circular dated April 27, 2007 |

Pillar II aspects

2.1 Existence of ICAAP

2.1.1 Whether the bank has formulated the ICAAP with the approval of board?

2.1.2 If so, what are its main components and risks covered?

2.1.3 Whether the outcomes of the ICAAP are periodically submitted to the Board and RBI? At what periodicity the outcomes of the ICAAP are reviewed by the board and Senior Management?

2.1.4 Whether ICAAP is an integral part of the management and decision making in the bank?

2.2 Board and Senior management oversight

2.2.1 Whether the bank has a Risk Management Committee (RMC)? Is it a Board Level Committee?

2.2.2 If so, please indicate its composition and qualifications and experience of the members of the RMC.

2.2.3 Periodicity of submission of reports to the Board reviewing capital position and future capital needs of the banks.

2.2.4 Does the bank project its capital requirements in the medium term? If so, what parameters are taken into account in arriving at such

projections?

2.2.5 Is the capital plan reviewed periodically? If yes, at what frequency? Please indicate the date of the last review.

2.2.6 Has the bank set up a separate Risk Management Department? If so, please indicate its broad set up.

2.2.7 Has the bank laid down a written detailed policy and procedure to ensure that the bank identifies, measures and reports all material risks to the board?

2.2.8 Does the bank have a process to relate its capital needs to risk?

2.2.9 Has the internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) is subject to an independent validation process? Is so, which agency conducts such validation - within or outside the bank? Whether validation has been brought within the scope of the Internal Audit?

2.3 Assessment of specific risks under ICAAP

2.3.1 Credit Risk

A. Has the bank identified any additional credit risks in the bank's credit portfolio such as credit concentration risk?

B. Does the bank think that its credit portfolio is well-diversified?

C. Does the bank assess the level of concentration risk in its non-retail portfolio by analyzing the distribution of such exposures across different bands within the regulatory ceiling for single borrower and group of borrower exposures, e.g. up to 5% of capital funds, 5-10% of capital funds and 10-15% of capital funds and so on?

D. The outstanding credit accounted for by the top 20 single borrower and top 20 borrower groups (separately):

| Amount | % of total credit |
|--------|-------------------|
| | |
| | |

E. Percentage of credit portfolio covered under the bank's internal rating system?

2.3.2 Operational Risk

A. Has the bank developed a framework for managing its operational risk exposure?

B. Does the bank consider that the capital maintained as per BIA is adequate for the level of operational risk it has.

C. Has the bank devised any strategy to transfer the operational risk outside the bank, such as by means of insurance?

D. Name the five sources of operational risks considered most significant for the bank at present, given its business mix and operational strategy?

2.3.3 Market Risk

A. Does the bank use VaR for managing any of its market risk exposures? If so, please indicate the names of such exposures?

B. Does the bank supplement the VaR measure with stress tests wherever it is used?

C. If so, please indicate the areas subjected to stress testing during the last quarter?

D. Is there a system of independent validation or the stress testing exercise? If so, by whom?

2.3.4 Interest rate risk in the banking book

A. Does the bank assess its exposure to interest risk in the banking book?

B. If so, does the bank calculate likely drop in Market Value of Equity with 200 bps change in interest rates? If so, please indicate the estimated impact of such shock as at the end of the last quarter.

2.3.5 Liquidity Risk

A. How does the bank assess its liquidity risk exposure? Does it specifically estimate the market funding risk and market liquidity risk?

B. Who is the authority / entity designated to monitor and manage the liquidity risk in the bank? Please give details.

C. Whether the bank has a system of internal allocation of capital for

liquidity risk? If so, please describe briefly.

D. What are the five most important measures taken by the bank to control its liquidity risk?

E. Total amount of bulk deposits taken from the 20 largest depositors and percentage of these deposits to total deposits

2.3.6 Non-quantifiable Risks

What is the methodology for assessing and controlling non-quantifiable risks such as reputation risk and strategic risk?

2.4 MIS and Audit

2.4.1 Please indicate the names and brief contents of the reports which are generated by the bank with a view to monitoring exposure to various risks and the final authority to whom the reports are put up? Please attach a separate sheet for this.

2.4.2 Does the external audit check / review the bank's ICAAP and other risk management reports? Are such Reports put up to the Audit Committee / RMC / Board for information?

2.4.3 Does the internal audit check the accuracy of MIS reports on risk management and capital adequacy submitted? Are the results of stress tests put up to the Board?

2.4.4 Does the bank have adequate MIS and level of computerisation and networking to support the implementation of Standardised approaches and ICAAP? If not, what are the plans and targets / deadlines set for achieving it?

2.4.5 Please indicate the major deficiencies identified in respect of the above.

2.4.6 Please indicate the steps taken to address the above deficiencies during the quarter.

2.5 Details of any additional capital requirement assessed by the bank

| Sl. No. | Type of Risk | Capital add-on assessed | |
|---------|---|-------------------------|------------|
| | | Amount | % age CRAR |
| 2.5.1 | Credit Concentration Risk | | |
| 2.5.2 | Liquidity Risk | | |
| 2.5.3 | Settlement Risk | | |
| 2.5.4 | Reputational Risk | | |
| 2.5.5 | Strategic Risk | | |
| 2.5.6 | Risk for underestimation of Credit Risk | | |
| 2.5.7 | Model Risk | | |
| 2.5.8 | Risk on weakness in credit mitigants | | |
| 2.5.9 | IRRBB | | |
| 2.5.10 | Any other risks : | | |
| | (i) Quantifiable | | |
| | (ii) Non-quantifiable | | |
| 2.5.11 | In respect of risks already captured under Pillar-I | | |
| | (a) Credit Risk | | |
| | (b) Market Risk | | |
| | (c) Operational Risk | | |

2.6 Stress Testing

A. Whether the bank has Board approved stress testing framework? What is the periodicity of review of stress testing framework?

B. What are the risks covered under stress testing framework?

C. At what periodicity, the stress tests are conducted and the results thereof are reviewed by the Board and senior management of the bank?

D. Have there been any breaches in the stress tolerance levels in the past one year? If so, what are the remedial measures initiated by the bank?

E. Is there any mechanism to validate the stress tests and their findings?

2.7 Skill development initiatives taken

How does the bank assess the adequacy of its human resources in terms of skills and specialisation required for smooth implementation of Basel II framework? Please indicate the HRD strategy adopted by the bank as also the major skill development initiatives taken during the quarter.

2.8 Miscellaneous

A. What are the Board approved policy on disclosure?

B. What are the mechanisms in place for validating the CRAR position computed as per the New Capital Adequacy framework?

C. What are the assessments / findings / recommendations of these validation exercises?

ANNEX-13**List of instructions and circulars consolidated****Part – A****List of Circulars**

| No | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----------|--|--------------------|---|
| 1 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.57/21.01.002 / 2005-2006 | January 25, 2006 | Enhancement of banks' capital raising options for capital adequacy purposes |
| 2. | DBOD.NO.BP.BC.23/21.01.002/ 2002- 03 | August 29, 2002 | Capital Adequacy and Provisioning Requirements for Export Credit Covered by Insurance/Guarantee. |
| 3. | DBOD. No. IBS. BC.65/ 23. 10.015 / 2001-02 | February 14, 2002 | Subordinated debt for inclusion in Tier II capital – Head Office borrowings in foreign currency by Foreign Banks operating in India |
| 4. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.106/21.01.002 /2001- 02 | May 24, 2002 | Risk Weight on Housing Finance and Mortgage Backed Securities |
| 5. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.128/21.04.048 /00- 01 | June 07, 2001 | SSI Advances Guaranteed by Credit Guarantee Fund Trust for Micro and Small Enterprises (CGTMSE) |
| 6. | DBOD.BP.BC.110/21.01.002/0 0-01 | April 20, 2001 | Risk Weight on Deposits placed with SIDBI/NABARD in lieu shortfall in lending to Priority Sectors |
| 7. | DBOD.BP.BC.83/21.01.002/00- 01 | February 28, 2001 | Loans and advances to staff – assignment of risk weight and treatment in the balance sheet. |
| 8. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.87/21.01.002/ 99 | September 08, 1999 | Capital Adequacy Ratio - Risk Weight on Bank's Investments in Bonds/ Securities Issued by Financial Institutions |
| 9. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.5/21.01.002/9 8-99 | February 08, 1999 | Issue of Subordinated Debt for Raising Tier II Capital |

| No | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----|---|----------------------|--|
| 10. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.1 19/21.01.002/ 98 | December 28, 1998 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures- Capital Adequacy Ratio Risk Weight on Banks' Investments in Bonds/Securities Issued by Financial Institutions |
| 11. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.152/ 21.01.002/ 96 | November 27, 1996 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 12. | DBOD.No.IBS.BC.64/ 23.61.001/ 96 | May 24, 1996 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 13. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.13/ 21.01.002/96 | February 08, 1996 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 14. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.99/ 21.01.002/94 | August 24, 1994 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 15. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.9/ 21.01.002/94 | February 08, 1994 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 16. | DBOD.No.IBS.BC.98/ 23-50-001-92/93 | April 06, 1993 | Capital Adequacy Measures - Treatment of Foreign Currency Loans to Indian Parties (DFF) |
| 17. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.117/ 21.01.002/92 | April 22, 1992 | Capital Adequacy Measures |
| 18. | DBOD.No.BP.BC.23/ 21.01.002/2006-2007 | July 21, 2006 | Enhancement of banks' capital raising options for capital adequacy purposes |

Part – B**List of other circulars containing instructions/ guidelines /directives related to Prudential Norms**

| No. | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 1 | DBOD.BP.BC.105/21.01.002/2002-2003, | May 07, 2003 | Monetary And Credit Policy 2003-04 - Investment Fluctuation Reserve |
| 2 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.96/21.04.048/2002-03 | April 23, 2003 | Guidelines on Sale Of Financial Assets to Securitisation Company (SC)/ Reconstruction Company (RC) (Created Under The Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets And Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002) and Related Issues. |
| 3 | DBOD No. BP.BC.89/21.04.018/2002- 03 | March 29, 2003 | Guidelines on compliance with Accounting Standards(AS) by banks |
| 4 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.72/21.04.018/2002- 03 | February 25, 2003 | Guidelines for Consolidated Accounting And Other Quantitative Methods to Facilitate Consolidated Supervision. |
| 5 | DBOD.NO.BP.BC.71/21.04.103/2002- 03 | February 19, 2003 | Risk Management system in Banks Guidelines in Country Risk Managements |
| 6 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.67/21.04.048/2002-03 | February 04, 2003 | Guidelines on Infrastructure Financing. |
| 7 | DBOD.Dir.BC.62/13.07.09/2002-03 | January 24, 2003 | Discounting/Rediscounting of Bills by Banks. |
| 8 | A.P.(DIR Series) Circular No. 63 | December 21, 2002 | Risk Management and Inter Bank Dealings |
| 9 | No.EC.CO.FMD.6/02.03.75/2002-2003 | November 20, 2002 | Hedging of Tier I Capital |
| 10 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.57/21.04.048/2001-02 | January 10, 2002 | Valuation of investments by banks |
| 11 | DBOD.No.BC.34/12.01.001/2001-02 | October 22, 2001 | Section 42(1) Of The Reserve Bank Of India Act, 1934 - Maintenance of Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR). |
| 12 | DBOD.BP.BC.73/21.04.018/2000-01 | January 30, 2001 | Voluntary Retirement Scheme (VRS) Expenditure – Accounting and Prudential Regulatory Treatment. |
| 13 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.31/21.04.048/2000 | October 10, 2000 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures – Mid term review for the year 2000-01 |

| No. | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----|---|-------------------|--|
| 14 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.169/21.01.002/2000 | May 03, 2000 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures |
| 15 | DBOD.No.BP.BC. 144/21.04.048/2000 | February 29, 2000 | Income Recognition, Asset Classification and Provisioning and Other Related Matters and Adequacy Standards - Takeout Finance |
| 16 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.121/21.04.124/99 | November 03, 1999 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures |
| 17 | DBOD.No.BP.BC. 101/21.04.048/99 | October 18, 1999 | Income Recognition, Asset Classification and Provisioning – Valuation of Investments by Banks in Subsidiaries. |
| 18 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.82/ 21.01.002/99 | August 18, 1999 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures |
| 19 | FSC.BC.70/24.01.001/99 | July 17, 1999 | Equipment Leasing Activity - Accounting / Provisioning Norms |
| 20 | MPD.BC.187/07.01.279/1999-2000 | July 07, 1999 | Forward Rate Agreements / Interest Rate Swaps |
| 21 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.24/ 21.04.048/99 | March 30, 1999 | Prudential Norms – Capital Adequacy- Income Recognition, Asset Classification and Provisioning |
| 22 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.35/ 21.01.002/99 | April 24, 1999 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures |
| 23 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.103/21.01.002/99 | October 31, 1998 | Monetary & Credit Policy Measures |
| 24 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.32/ 21.04.018/98 | April 29, 1998 | Monetary and Credit Policy Measures |
| 25 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.9/21.04.018/ 98 | January 27, 1998 | Balance Sheet of Bank - Disclosures |
| 26 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.9/21.04.048/ 97 | January 29, 1997 | Prudential Norms - Capital Adequacy, Income Recognition, Asset Classification and Provisioning |
| 27 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.3/21.01.002/2004- 05 | July 06, 2004 | Prudential norms on Capital Adequacy –Cross holding of capital among banks/ financial institutions |
| 28 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.103/21.04.151/2003-04 | June 24, 2004 | Guidelines on Capital Charge for Market risks |

| No. | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----|---|----------------------|--|
| 29 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.92/21.04.048/ 2003-04 | June 16, 2004 | Annual Policy Statement for the year 2004-05 - Guidelines on infrastructure financing |
| 30 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.91/21.01.002/ 2003-04 | June 15, 2004 | Annual Policy Statement for the year 2004-05 – Risk Weight for Exposure to Public Financial Institutions (PFIs) |
| 31 | F.No.11/7/2003-BOA | May 06, 2004 | Permission to nationalized banks to issue subordinated debt for augmenting Tier II capital |
| 32 | DBS.FID.No.C15/01.02.00/ 2003-04 | June 15, 2004 | Risk Weight for Exposures to PFIs |
| 33 | DBOD.BP.BC.29/21.01.048/ 2004-05 | August 13, 2004 | Prudential Norms-State Govt. guaranteed exposures |
| 34 | DBOD.BP.BC.61/21.01.002/ 2004-05 | December 23, 2004 | Mid-Term Review of the Annual Policy Statement for the year 2004-05 - Risk weight on housing loans and consumer credit |
| 35 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.85/21.04.141/ 2004-05 | April 30, 2005 | Capital Adequacy- IFR |
| 36 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.16/21.04.048/ 2005-06 | July 13, 2005 | Guidelines on purchase of Non-Performing Assets |
| 37 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.21/21.01.002/ 2005-06 | July 26, 2005 | Risk Weight on Capital Market Exposure |
| 38 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.38/21.04.141/ 2005-06 | October 10, 2005 | Capital Adequacy-IFR |
| 39 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.60/21.04.048/20 05-06 | February 1, 2006 | Guidelines on Securitisation of Standard Assets |
| 40 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.73/21.04.048/ 2005-06 | March 24, 2006 | Bills Discounted under LC-Risk Weight and Exposure Norms |
| 41 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.84/21.01.002/ 2005-06 | May 25, 2006 | APS for 2006-07-Risk Weight on Exposures to Commercial Real estate and Venture Capital Funds |
| 42 | DBOD.BP.BC.87/21.01.002/ 2005-06 | June 8, 2006 | Innovative Tier I/Tier II Bonds - Hedging by banks through Derivative Structures |

| No. | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----|--|------------------|---|
| 43 | DBOD.NO.BP. BC. 89 / 21.04.048/2005-06 | June 22, 2006 | Prudential norms on creation and utilisation of floating provisions |
| 44 | DBOD.NO.BP. BC. 53 / 21.04.048/2006-07 | January 31, 2007 | Third Quarter Review of the Annual Policy Statement on Monetary Policy for the year 2006-07- Provisioning Requirement for Standard Assets and Risk Weights for Capital Adequacy |
| 45 | IDMD.NO. /11.01.01(B)/2006-07 | January 31, 2007 | Secondary Market Transactions in Govt. Securities - Short-selling |
| 46 | DBOD.NO.BP. BC. 92/ 21.01.002/2006-07 | May 3, 2007 | Annual Policy Statement for the year 2006-07: Risk Weight on residential housing loans |
| 47 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.42/21.01.002/2007-2008 | October 29, 2007 | Guidelines for Issuing Preference Shares as part of Regulatory Capital |
| 48 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.59/21.06.001/2007-08 | January 17, 2008 | Prudential Norms for Capital Adequacy - Risk Weight for Educational Loans |
| 49 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.83/21.06.001/2007-08 | May 14, 2008 | Claims Secured by Residential Property - Change in limits for risk weights |
| 50 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.88/21.06.001/2007-08 | May 30, 2008 | Capital Adequacy Norms – Treatment of Banks' Investments in Subsidiaries / Associates and of the Subsidiaries'/Associates' Investments in Parent Banks |
| 51 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.26/21.04.048/2008-09 | July 30, 2008 | Agricultural Debt Waiver and debt Relief Scheme, 2008- Prudential Norms on Income Recognition, Asset Classification, Provisioning and capital Adequacy. |

| No. | Circular No. | Date | Subject |
|-----|---|-------------------|--|
| 52 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.31/21.04.157/2008-09 | August 8, 2008 | Prudential Norms for Off- balance Sheet Exposures of Banks |
| 53 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.76/21.04.0132/2008-09 | November 3, 2008 | Prudential Guidelines on Restructuring of Advances by Banks |
| 54 | DBOD.BP.BC.83/21.01.002/2008-09 | November 15, 2008 | Review of Prudential Norms- Provisioning for Standard Assets and Risk Weights for Exposures to Corporates, Commercial real Estate and NBFC-ND-SI |
| 55 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.118/21.04.048/2008-09 | March 25, 2009 | Prudential treatment of different types of Provisions in respect of loan portfolios |
| 56 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.120/21.01.002/2008-2009 | April 2, 2009 | Guidelines for Issuing Preference Shares as part of Regulatory Capital |
| 57 | Mail Box Clarification | May 12, 2009 | Claims on Banks- Exposures of the Indian Branches of Foreign Bank Guaranteed/ Counter- guaranteed by Head Offices/ Overseas Branches |
| 58 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.134/21.06.001/2008-09 | May 26, 2009 | Capital Adequacy Norms for Banks' Exposures to Central Counterparties (CCPs) |
| 59 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.38/21.01.002/2008-09 | September 7, 2009 | Issue of Subordinated Debt for raising Tier II Capital |
| 60 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.69/21.01.002/2009-10 | January 13, 2010 | Retail issue of Subordinated Debt for raising Tier II Capital |
| 61 | Mail Box Clarification | January 18, 2010 | Capital Adequacy Framework For Security Receipts |
| 62 | Mail Box Clarification | January 25, 2010 | Guaranteeing returns of investors of capital instruments of Banks |
| 63 | Mail Box Clarification | January 28, 2010 | Inclusion of Quarterly Un-Audited Profits for computation of Capital Adequacy |
| 64 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.87/21.06.001/2009- 10 | January 7, 2010 | Prudential guidelines on NCAF- Parallel run & Prudential Floor |
| 65 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.69/08.12.001/2010-11 | December 23, 2010 | Housing Loans by Commercial Banks- LTV Ratio, risk weight & provisioning |
| 66 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.71/21.06.001/2010- 11 | December 31, 2010 | Prudential guidelines on NCAF- Parallel run & Prudential Floor |
| 67 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.75/21.06.001/2010- 11 | January 20, 2011 | Regulatory Capital Instruments – Step up Option |
| 68 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.80/21.04.018/2010- 11 | February 9, 2011 | Reopening of Pension Option to Employees of Public Sector Banks and Enhancement in Gratuity Limits– Prudential Regulatory Treatment |

| | | | |
|----|---|----------------------|--|
| 69 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.69/21.06.001/ 2011-12 | December 27, 2011 | Capital Requirement for Banks' Investments in Financial Entities exempted from Capital Market Exposure |
| 70 | DBOD Mail Box Clarification | April 17, 2012 | Deletion of the term Overseas Corporate Bodies (OCBs) |
| 71 | DBOD.BP.BC.No.61/21.06.203/ 2011-12 | November 30, 2011 | Prudential Guidelines on Credit Default Swaps (CDS) |
| 72 | DBOD.No.BP.BC.103/21.04.177/ 2011-12 | May 07, 2012 | Revisions to the Guidelines on Securitisation Transactions |

3. GLOSSARY

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Asset | An asset is anything of value that is owned by a person or business |
| Available for Sale | The securities available for sale are those securities where the intention of the bank is neither to trade nor to hold till maturity. These securities are valued at the fair value which is determined by reference to the best available source of current market quotations or other data relative to current value. |
| Balance Sheet | A balance sheet is a financial statement of the assets and liabilities of a trading concern, recorded at a particular point in time. |
| Banking Book | The banking book comprises assets and liabilities, which are contracted basically on account of relationship or for steady income and statutory obligations and are generally held till maturity. |
| Basel Capital Accord | The Basel Capital Accord is an Agreement concluded among country representatives in 1988 to develop standardised risk-based capital requirements for banks across countries. The Accord was replaced with a new capital adequacy framework (Basel II), published in June 2004. Basel II is based on three mutually reinforcing pillars that allow banks and supervisors to evaluate properly the various risks that banks face. These three pillars are: minimum capital requirements, which seek to refine the present measurement; supervisory review of an institution's capital adequacy and internal assessment process; and market discipline through effective disclosure to encourage safe and sound banking practices |

| | |
|---|--|
| Basel Committee on Banking Supervision | The Basel Committee is a committee of bank supervisors consisting of members from each of the G10 countries. The Committee is a forum for discussion on the handling of specific supervisory problems. It coordinates the sharing of supervisory responsibilities among national authorities in respect of banks' foreign establishments with the aim of ensuring effective supervision of banks' activities worldwide. |
| Basic Indicator Approach | An operational risk measurement technique permitted under Basel II. The approach sets a charge for operational risk as a fixed percentage ("alphafactor") of a single indicator. The indicator serves as a proxy for the bank's risk exposure. |
| Basis Risk | The risk that the interest rate of different assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items may change in different magnitude is termed as basis risk. |
| Capital | Capital refers to the funds (e.g., money, loans, equity) which are available to carry on a business, make an investment, and generate future revenue. Capital also refers to physical assets which can be used to generate future returns. |
| Capital adequacy | A measure of the adequacy of an entity's capital resources in relation to its current liabilities and also in relation to the risks associated with its assets. An appropriate level of capital adequacy ensures that the entity has sufficient capital to support its activities and that its net worth is sufficient to absorb adverse changes in the value of its assets without becoming insolvent. For example, under BIS (Bank for International Settlements) rules, banks are required to maintain a certain level of capital against their risk-adjusted assets. |
| Capital reserves | That portion of a company's profits not paid out as dividends to shareholders. They are also known as undistributable reserves. |
| Convertible Bond | A bond giving the investor the option to convert the bond into equity at a fixed conversion price or as per a pre-determined pricing formula. |
| Core Capital | Tier 1 capital is generally referred to as Core Capital |

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Credit risk | Risk that a party to a contractual agreement or transaction will be unable to meet their obligations or will default on commitments. Credit risk can be associated with almost any transaction or instrument such as swaps, repos, CDs, foreign exchange transactions, etc. Specific types of credit risk include sovereign risk, country risk, legal or force majeure risk, marginal risk and settlement risk. |
| Debentures | Bonds issued by a company bearing a fixed rate of interest usually payable half yearly on specific dates and principal amount repayable on a particular date on redemption of the debentures. |
| Deferred Tax Assets | Unabsorbed depreciation and carry forward of losses which can be set-off against future taxable income which is considered as timing differences result in deferred tax assets. The deferred Tax Assets are accounted as per the Accounting Standard 22. Deferred Tax Assets have an effect of decreasing future income tax payments, which indicates that they are prepaid income taxes and meet definition of assets. Whereas deferred tax liabilities have an effect of increasing future year's income tax payments, which indicates that they are accrued income taxes and meet definition of liabilities. |
| Derivative | A derivative instrument derives much of its value from an underlying product. Examples of derivatives include futures, options, forwards and swaps. For example, a forward contract can be derived from the spot currency market and the spot markets for borrowing and lending. In the past, derivative instruments tended to be restricted only to those products which could be derived from spot markets. However, today the term seems to be used for any product that can be derived from any other. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Duration | Duration (Macaulay duration) measures the price volatility of fixed income securities. It is often used in the comparison of the interest rate risk between securities with different coupons and different maturities. It is the weighted average of the present value of all the cash flows associated with a fixed income security. It is expressed in years. The duration of a fixed income security is always shorter than its term to maturity, except in the case of zero coupon securities where they are the same. |
| Foreign Institutional Investor | An institution established or incorporated outside India which proposes to make investment in India in securities; provided that a domestic asset management company or domestic portfolio manager who manages funds raised or collected or brought from outside India for investment in India on behalf of a sub-account, shall be deemed to be a Foreign Institutional Investor. |
| Forward Contract | A forward contract is an agreement between two parties to buy or sell an agreed amount of a commodity or financial instrument at an agreed price, for delivery on an agreed future date. In contrast to a futures contract, a forward contract is not transferable or exchange tradable, its terms are not standardized and no margin is exchanged. The buyer of the forward contract is said to be long the contract and the seller is said to be short the contract. |
| General provisions and loss reserves | Such reserves, if they are not attributable to the actual diminution in value or identifiable potential loss in any specific asset and are available to meet unexpected losses, can be included in Tier II capital |
| General risk | Risk that relates to overall market conditions while specific risk is risk that relates to the issuer of a particular security |
| Hedging | Taking action to eliminate or reduce exposure to risk |
| Held for Trading | Securities where the intention is to trade by taking advantage of short-term price / interest rate movements. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Horizontal Disallowance | A disallowance of offsets to required capital used the BIS Method for assessing market risk for regulatory capital. In order to calculate the capital required for interest rate risk of a trading portfolio, the BIS Method allows offsets of long and short positions. Yet interest rate risks of instruments at different horizontal points of the yield curve are not perfectly correlated. Hence, the BIS Method requires that a portion of these offsets be disallowed. |
| Hybrid debt capital instruments | In this category, fall a number of capital instruments, which combine certain characteristics of equity and certain characteristics of debt. Each has a particular feature, which can be considered to affect its quality as capital. Where these instruments have close similarities to equity, in particular when they are able to support losses on an ongoing basis without triggering liquidation, they may be included in Tier II capital. |
| Interest rate risk | Risk that the financial value of assets or liabilities (or inflows/outflows) will be altered because of fluctuations in interest rates. For example, the risk that future investment may have to be made at lower rates and future borrowings at higher rates. |
| Long Position | A long position refers to a position where gains arise from a rise in the value of the underlying. |
| Market risk | Risk of loss arising from movements in market prices or rates away from the rates or prices set out in a transaction or agreement. |
| Modified Duration | <p>The modified duration or volatility of an interest bearing security is its Macaulay Duration divided by one plus the coupon rate of the security. It represents the percentage change in the securities' price for a 100 basis points change in yield. It is generally accurate for only small changes in the yield.</p> $MD = - dP / dY \times 1/P$ <p>Where, MD= Modified Duration P= Gross price (i.e. clean price plus accrued interest) dP= Corresponding small change in price dY = Small change in yield compounded with the frequency of the coupon payment.</p> |

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Mortgage-backed security | A bond-type security in which the collateral is provided by a pool of mortgages. Income from the underlying mortgages is used to meet interest and principal repayments. |
| Mutual Fund | Mutual Fund is a mechanism for pooling the resources by issuing units to the investors and investing funds in securities in accordance with objectives as disclosed in offer document. A fund established in the form of a trust to raise monies through the sale of units to the public or a section of the public under one or more schemes for investing in securities, including money market instruments. |
| Net Interest Margin | Net interest margin is the net interest income divided by average interest earning assets |
| Net NPA | Net NPA = Gross NPA – (Balance in Interest Suspense account + DICGC/ECGC claims received and held pending adjustment + Part payment received and kept in suspense account + Total provisions held)' |
| Nostro accounts | Foreign currency settlement accounts that a bank maintains with its overseas correspondent banks. These accounts are assets of the domestic bank. |
| Off-Balance Sheet exposures | Off-Balance Sheet exposures refer to the business activities of a bank that generally do not involve booking assets (loans) and taking deposits. Off-balance sheet activities normally generate fees, but produce liabilities or assets that are deferred or contingent and thus, do not appear on the institution's balance sheet until or unless they become actual assets or liabilities. |
| Open position | It is the net difference between the amounts payable and amounts receivable in a particular instrument or commodity. It results from the existence of a net long or net short position in the particular instrument or commodity. |

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Option | An option is a contract which grants the buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy (call option) or sell (put option) an asset, commodity, currency or financial instrument at an agreed rate (exercise price) on or before an agreed date (expiry or settlement date). The buyer pays the seller an amount called the premium in exchange for this right. This premium is the price of the option. |
| Risk | The possibility of an outcome not occurring as expected. It can be measured and is not the same as uncertainty, which is not measurable. In financial terms, risk refers to the possibility of financial loss. It can be classified as credit risk, market risk and operational risk. |
| Risk Asset Ratio | A bank's risk asset ratio is the ratio of a bank's risk assets to its capital funds. Risk assets include assets other than highly rated government and government agency obligations and cash, for example, corporate bonds and loans. The capital funds include capital and undistributed reserves. The lower the risk asset ratio the better the bank's 'capital cushion' |
| Risk Weights | Basel II sets out a risk-weighting schedule for measuring the credit risk of obligors. The risk weights are linked to ratings given to sovereigns, financial institutions and corporations by external credit rating agencies. |
| Securitisation | The process whereby similar debt instruments/assets are pooled together and repackaged into marketable securities which can be sold to investors. The process of loan securitisation is used by banks to move their assets off the balance sheet in order to |
| Short position | A short position refers to a position where gains arise from a decline in the value of the underlying. It also refers to the sale of a security in which the seller does not have a long position. |
| Specific risk | Within the framework of the BIS proposals on market risk, specific risk refers to the risk associated with a specific security, issuer or company, as opposed to the risk associated with a market or market sector (general risk). |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Subordinated debt | Refers to the status of the debt. In the event of the bankruptcy or liquidation of the debtor, subordinated debt only has a secondary claim on repayments, after other debt has been repaid. |
| Tier one (or Tier I) capital | A term used to refer to one of the components of regulatory capital. It consists mainly of share capital and disclosed reserves (minus goodwill, if any). Tier I items are deemed to be of the highest quality because they are fully available to cover losses. The other categories of capital defined in Basel II are Tier II (or supplementary) capital and Tier III (or additional supplementary) capital. |
| Tier two (or Tier II) capital | Refers to one of components of regulatory capital. Also known as supplementary capital, it consists of certain reserves and certain types of subordinated debt. Tier II items qualify as regulatory capital to the extent that they can be used to absorb losses arising from a bank's activities. Tier II's capital loss absorption capacity is lower than that of Tier I capital. |
| Trading Book | A trading book or portfolio refers to the book of financial instruments held for the purpose of short-term trading, as opposed to securities that would be held as a long-term investment. The trading book refers to the assets that are held primarily for generating profit on short-term differences in prices/yields. The price risk is the prime concern of banks in trading book. |
| Underwrite | Generally, to underwrite means to assume a risk for a fee. Its two most common contexts are: a) Securities: a dealer or investment bank agrees to purchase a new issue of securities from the issuer and distribute these securities to investors. The underwriter may be one person or part of an underwriting syndicate. Thus the issuer faces no risk of being left with unsold securities. b) Insurance: a person or company agrees to provide financial compensation against the risk of fire, theft, death, disability, etc., for a fee called a premium. |

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Undisclosed Reserves | These reserves often serve as a cushion against unexpected losses, but they are less permanent in nature and cannot be considered as 'Core Capital'. Revaluation reserves arise from revaluation of assets that are undervalued on the bank's books, typically bank premises and marketable securities. The extent to which the revaluation reserves can be relied upon as a cushion for unexpected losses depends mainly upon the level of certainty that can be placed on estimates of the market values of the relevant assets, the subsequent deterioration in values under difficult market conditions or in a forced sale, potential for actual liquidation at those values, tax consequences of revaluation, etc. |
| Value at risk (VAR) | It is a method for calculating and controlling exposure to market risk. VAR is a single number (currency amount) which estimates the maximum expected loss of a portfolio over a given time horizon (the holding period) and at a given confidence level. |
| Venture capital Fund | A fund with the purpose of investing in start-up businesses that is perceived to have excellent growth prospects but does not have access to capital markets. |
| Vertical Disallowance | In the BIS Method for determining regulatory capital necessary to cushion market risk, a reversal of the offsets of a general risk charge of a long position by a short position in two or more securities in the same time band in the yield curve where the securities have differing credit risks. |